

ON THE LOCAL VERSION OF THE SEVERI PROBLEM

VSEVOLOD V. SHEVCHISHIN

ABSTRACT. For a given singularity of a plane curve we consider the locus of nodal deformations of the singularity with the given number of nodes and describe possible components of the locus. As applications, we solve the local symplectic isotopy for nodal curves in a neighborhood of a given pseudoholomorphic curve without multiple components and prove the uniqueness of the symplectic isotopy class for nodal pseudoholomorphic curves of low genus in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$.

0. INTRODUCTION

In the famous *Anhang F* of his book “*Vorlesungen über algebraische Geometrie*” [Sev], F. Severi offered a proof of the statement that the locus of irreducible plane curves of degree d having the prescribed number ν nodes and no other singularities is connected. However, his argument, which involved degenerating the curve into d lines, is not correct. The problem was attacked by several authors, see review of Fulton [Ful], and the correct proof was given by Harris [Ha], following original ideas of Severi.

In this paper we consider the local version of the Severi problem. Let C^* be a germ of a holomorphic plane curve at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that C^* has an isolated singularity at 0. In particular, C^* can be reducible but has no multiple components. In this case there exists a versal family $\{C_s\}_{s \in \text{Def}(C^*, 0)}$ of deformations of C^* with a non-singular finite-dimensional base $\text{Def}(C^*, 0)$. Here, as the curve C^* itself, the family $\{C_s\}_{s \in \text{Def}(C^*, 0)}$ and the ambient plane $\mathbb{C}^2$ are understood in the sense of germs of analytic spaces.

Fix an integer ν . Denote by $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*, 0)$ the locus of the curves in $\text{Def}(C^*, 0)$ having exactly ν nodes and no other singularities, and by $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ its closure. One can show that $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ consists of deformations of C^* whose total virtual number of nodes is at least ν . Further, let $\delta = \delta(C^*, 0)$ be the virtual number of nodes of C^* at 0. It is easy to show that $\text{Def}_0(C^*, 0) = \text{Def}(C^*, 0)$, $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ has pure codimension ν in $\text{Def}(C^*, 0)$, is empty for $\nu > \delta$, while non-empty and irreducible for $\nu = \delta$.

The subject of the *local Severi problem* is description of irreducible components of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ in the remaining case $0 < \nu < \delta$. The principle result of the present paper is

Main Theorem. *For $\nu < \delta$, every irreducible component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ contains a nodal curve with δ nodes.*

More precisely, we show inductively that every irreducible component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ contains a component of $\text{Def}_{\nu+1}^\circ(C^*, 0)$. In other words, every nodal curve in $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*, 0)$ can be degenerated inside the same component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ into a nodal curve with exactly one additional node.

The meaning of *Main Theorem* is that there are no “unexpected” components of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$, different from “expected” ones obtained by the following construction. First

Date: This version: June 2002. 3d version: March 2002. 2nd version: March 2001. 1st version: June 2000.

one deforms C^* into a nodal curve C' with δ nodes, this is a generic curve in the family $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*, 0)$, and then smooths $\delta - \nu$ nodes of C' . In particular, *Main Theorem* implies that there exist not more than $\binom{\delta}{\nu}$ irreducible components of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$. Of course, this bound is very rough. However, a precise description of components of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ requires a description of the action of the monodromy group of $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*, 0)$ on the set of nodes of the curve C' .

Author's motivation for study of the local Severi problem was applications to the *symplectic isotopy problem*. It was pointed out in the paper [Sh] that *Main Theorem* would imply the solution of the *local isotopy problem for nodal pseudoholomorphic curves*, which is a version of the local Severi problem for pseudoholomorphic curves. This result and its application to the symplectic isotopy problem are presented in *Section 2*.

Acknowledgments. The author is strongly indebted to V. Kharlamov, St. Nemirovski, and St. Orevkov for numerous valuable remarks and suggestions which helped to clarify the problem. Ph. Eyssidieux pointed out an error in the first attempt to the proof, based on completely other ideas. The idea of the present approach appeared during discussions with M. Kazarian and E. Kudryavtseva. Many other valuable remarks and suggestions were done by H. Flenner, G.-M. Greuel, Ziv Ran, J.-C. Sikorav, and E. Shustin.

0.1. Scheme of the proof. The main idea of the proof is to trace the ramification locus of the projections of deformed curves onto a fixed coordinate axis $Oz \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, $Oz \cong \mathbb{C}$. This leads to another deformation problem. In this case too, there exists a semi-universal family $\{(C_s, f_s)\}$ of pairs “curve + projection” with a non-singular finite-dimensional base $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. Let $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ be the preimage of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*, 0)$ with respect to the natural “forgetful map” $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Def}(C^*, 0)$. For a generic $s \in \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$, denote by $B_\nu(s)$ the branching divisor of the projection $f_s : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$. Then $B_\nu(s)$ depends holomorphically on a generic s , and the family $\{B_\nu(s)\}$ can be holomorphically extended to the whole germ $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$.

The idea of the proof can be now reformulated in study of the loci $\mathcal{Y}_k \subset \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ given by the condition “the multiplicity of $0 \in \Delta$ in $B_\nu(s)$ is at least k ”. This means that we study specializations of projections $f_s : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$, proceeding successively along the special strata of the discriminant locus in the space of branching divisors $B_\nu(s)$. We show inductively that at each step of the specialization $k \mapsto k+1$, for generic $s \in \mathcal{Y}_{k+1}$, the curve C_s has simple branchings and nodes lying apart the vertical axis Ow , and there is the following alternative for the structure of C_s at the axis Ow :

- either C_s is non-singular at Ow , and in this case we can proceed to the next inductive step;
- or C_s has exactly one singular points at the the axis Ow , at which C_s has two branches, both non-singular.

We show that in the latter case one can produce exactly one desired node. Since the total tangency order can not exceed the degree d , the latter case must occur and the inductive procedure will terminate.

Observe that essentially the same construction was used in the Harris' proof [Ha] of the global Severi problem. Namely, he studied the varieties $V_{d,g,k}$ of irreducible nodal curves of genus g and degree d in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ having tangency of order k with some (not fixed) line ℓ at some point p . He showed inductively in k , that if $V_{d,g,k}$ is non-empty, then a generic curve in every irreducible component of $V_{d,g,k}$ has no other incidences and admits a degeneration either into a generic curve in $V_{d,g,k+1}$, or into a curve having exactly one extra node. To

adapt his point of view to our case, we must simply rotate ℓ into the axis Ow and consider the projection $f : C \rightarrow \Delta$ from the infinity point of Ow . Note that his condition on the projection $f : C \rightarrow \Delta$ is stronger than our, he requires also a single ramification point of $f : C \rightarrow \Delta$ over $0 \in \Delta$.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
0.1. Scheme of the proof	2
1. Deformation of isolated singularities of plane curves	3
1.1. Isolated singularities of plane curves	3
1.2. Deformation of plane curves with projection	4
1.3. Proof of Main Theorem	10
2. Application to the symplectic isotopy problem	16
2.1. The symplectic isotopy problem for nodal surfaces	16
2.2. Existence of symplectic isotopy between nodal surfaces	21
References	32

1. DEFORMATION OF ISOLATED SINGULARITIES OF PLANE CURVES

1.1. Isolated singularities of plane curves. We recall the standard definitions of the deformation theory, see also [Pal-1], [Pal-2], or [Tju].

Definition 1.1. An *isolated singularity of a plane curve* is a germ of a curve $(C, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin 0, such that C is non-singular at any $z \neq 0 \in C$. In particular, this means that there are no multiple components of C at 0.

A *deformation* of such an isolated singularity $(C, 0)$ is given by an analytic map $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ between germs of analytic sets $(\mathcal{C}_S, 0)$ and (S, s_0) such that π_S is flat and the fiber $\pi_S^{-1}(s_0)$ is the germ $(C, 0)$. The germs (S, s_0) and $(\mathcal{C}_S, s_0)$ are the *base* and the *total germ* of the deformation, respectively. Such a deformation $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ is also called a family of deformations of $(C, 0)$.

Two deformations $(\mathcal{C}_S, 0)$ and $(\mathcal{C}'_S, 0)$ of $(C, 0)$ with the same base (S, s_0) are *isomorphic*, if there exists a germ biholomorphism $\varphi : (\mathcal{C}_S, 0) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}'_S, 0)$ compatible with projections $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ and $\pi'_S : \mathcal{C}'_S \rightarrow S$, respectively. The notion of an *isomorphism* of isolated singularities of plane curves is defined similarly.

If $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ is a family of deformations of $(C, 0)$, (T, t_0) a germ of an analytic set, and $\varphi : (T, t_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$ an analytic map, then $\mathcal{C}_T := \varphi^* \mathcal{C}_S := \mathcal{C}_S \times_S T$ is also a deformation of $(C, 0)$ with respect to the natural projection $\pi_T : \mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$. In this case $\pi_T : \mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ is called the *pulled-back family* or a deformation obtained by the *base change*, and $\varphi : (T, t_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$ is called the *base change map*.

By G. Tjurina [Tju] (see also [Don], [Pal-1] and [Pal-2]), there exists a semi-universal family of deformations of any given isolated singularity of an analytic space. In our case we have

Proposition 1.1. *Let $(C, 0)$ be an isolated singularity of a plane curve. Then there exists a family $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ of deformations of $(C, 0)$ with the following properties:*

- i) *Any deformation family $\pi_T : \mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ of $(C, 0)$ is isomorphic to the pulled-back family $\varphi^* \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow T$ for an appropriate base change map $\varphi : (T, t_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$.*

- ii) Any morphism $\varphi : (S, s_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$, such that the pulled-back family $\varphi^* \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ is isomorphic to $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$, is an isomorphism.

Furthermore, assume that C^* is the zero divisor of the germ of a holomorphic function $f(z, w)$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*, 0) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0} / (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial w})$. Then $\text{supp}(\mathcal{T}^1(C^*, 0)) = \{0\}$ and S is smooth n -dimensional with $n = \text{length}(\mathcal{T}^1(C^*, 0))$.

Moreover, let the germs $\varphi_1(z, w), \dots, \varphi_n(z, w)$ of holomorphic functions generate the basis of $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*, 0)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Set $\Phi(z, w; s_1, \dots, s_n) := f(z, w) + \sum_i s_i \varphi_i(z, w)$, $S := (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, and let $\mathcal{C}_S$ be the germ at 0 of the zero divisor of Φ , equipped with the projection $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ given by $(z, w; s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then map $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$ is a deformation family of $(C^*, 0)$ with the desired properties.

The properties i) and ii) are **completeness** and **minimality** of the family $\pi_S : \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow S$, respectively. Notice also that even if we deform $(C^*, 0)$ as an abstract complex space, the whole deformation consists of plane curves.

1.2. Deformation of plane curves with projection. Now we give an explicit description of deformation of plane curves. Instead of germs, we shall work with closed analytic subsets in the bi-disc, a priori with multiplicities.

The following notations are used. Δ^2 denotes the bi-disc with the standard complex structure and complex coordinates (z, w) , $\text{pr}_1 : \Delta^2 \rightarrow \Delta$ is the projection on the first factor. For a complex (i.e. holomorphic) manifold X , compact and with a piecewise smooth boundary ∂X , we denote by $\mathcal{H}(X)$ the space of holomorphic function which are continuous up boundary ∂X . Similar notation $\mathcal{H}(C)$ is used in the case when C is a nodal complex curve. Further, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(C, X)$ the space of holomorphic maps which are continuous up boundary ∂C and have image in the interior of X .

Let us start with some standard facts about holomorphic curves in bi-disc.

Lemma 1.2. i) Let C be a holomorphic curve in Δ^2 , possibly with multiple components. Assume that the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C \rightarrow \Delta$ on the first factor Δ is proper. Then C is the zero divisor of the uniquely defined unitary Weierstraß polynomial $P_f(z, w) := w^d + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(z) w^{d-i}$ whose coefficients $f_1(z), \dots, f_d(z)$ are bounded holomorphic functions, $f_1(z), \dots, f_d(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$.

ii) Let S be a (Banach) analytic set and $F(z, w; s)$ a holomorphic function on $\Delta^2 \times S$, such that for every $s \in S$ the projection pr_1 from zero divisor C_s of $F(z, w; s)$ onto the first factor Δ is proper. Then $F(z, w; s)$ can be uniquely decomposed into the product $F(z, w; s) = G(z, w; s) \cdot P(z, w; s)$ where $G(z, w; s)$ is a holomorphic invertible function on $\Delta^2 \times S$ and $P(z, w; s)$ is a Weierstraß polynomial of the form $P(z, w; s) = w^d + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(z; s) w^{d-i}$ whose coefficients $f_1(z; s), \dots, f_d(z; s)$ are bounded holomorphic functions on $\Delta \times S$.

The result is classical and follows essentially from the Weierstraß theorems, see e.g. [Gr-Ha], Chapter 0. The coefficients $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ of the Weierstraß polynomial are used as natural coordinates on the space of curves in Δ^2 with the cycle topology, when a curve is considered as a divisor.

Definition 1.2. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ the space of $f = f(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_d(z)) \in (\mathcal{H}(\Delta))^d$, for which the zero divisor C_f of the Weierstraß polynomial $P_f(z, w) := w^d + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(z) w^{d-i}$ lies in $\Delta \times \Delta(r)$ for some $r = r(f) < 1$ and has no singularities at the boundary. This is a Banach manifold parameterizing curves C in Δ^2 for which the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C \rightarrow \Delta$

is proper and has degree d . The curve corresponding to $f \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ will be denoted by C_f . We shall identify C_f with f and write $C_f \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$.

The space $\mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ is too large to work with. We shall replace it by a finite dimensional moduli space of pairs “curve + projection”. The latter is defined by dividing out holomorphic “slidings” along vertical fibers in Δ^2 .

Definition 1.3. Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a holomorphic curve which has no multiple components and singularities on the boundary, $P_0(z, w)$ its Weierstraß polynomial, and $F_0(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta^2)$ a holomorphic function of the form $F_0(z, w) = G_0(z, w) \cdot P_0(z, w)$ with a non-vanishing $G_0(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta^2)$. Define the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta) := \mathcal{O}(\Delta^2) / (F_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial w} F_0)$, where $(F_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial w} F_0)$ states for the ideal generated by F_0 and its derivative.

The set of the singular points of C^* and the set of critical points of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is called the *singular set of pr* : $C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ or and denoted by $\text{sing}(C^*/\Delta)$.

Lemma 1.3. i) The support of the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ is the set $\text{sing}(C^*/\Delta)$.

ii) Let $\varphi_1(z, w), \dots, \varphi_n(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta^2)$ be functions generating a basis of $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then every $F(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta^2)$ sufficiently close to F_0 can be uniquely represented in the form

$$(1.1) \quad F(z, w) = G(z, w) \cdot (P_0(z, w + g(z, w)) + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \varphi_i(z, w))$$

with a holomorphic function $G(z, w) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta^2)$, constants $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and a Weierstraß polynomial $g(z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^d w^i b_i(z)$ of degree d with holomorphic coefficients $b_i(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$.

Proof. i) First, let us observe that the definition of $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ is independent of the particular choice of the function defining C^* . In particular, $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta^2) / (P_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0)$. Further, it is clear that $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ vanishes outside C^* and at regular points of C^* which are not critical points of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$. Since F_0 must vanish at least quadratically at every singular point of C^* , $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} F_0$ must also vanish at singular points of C^* . So $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ is non-trivial at such points. Finally, observe that the vertical vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ is tangent to C^* at critical points of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$, and hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} F_0$ vanish at such points. This yields the first assertion of the lemma.

ii) This assertion will follow from the implicit function theorem provided we solve the corresponding linearized problem. Differentiating (1.1) we obtain the equation

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{F}(z, w) = \dot{G}(z, w) \cdot P_0(z, w) + G_0(z, w) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0(z, w) \cdot \dot{g}(z, w) + \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{s}_i \varphi_i(z, w) \right)$$

where dotted symbols state for tangent vectors to the corresponding spaces. The latter equation is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \dot{F} = \dot{G} \cdot P_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0 \cdot \dot{g} + \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{s}_i \varphi_i,$$

where dotted objects vary in the same Banach spaces as above. Application of the Weierstraß' division theorem shows that it is sufficient to consider the special case where $\dot{F}$ is a Weierstraß polynomial of degree $d-1$ of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} w^i a_i(z)$ with holomorphic coefficients $a_i(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$. Another application of the Weierstraß' division theorem shows that after replacing the functions $\varphi_i(z, w)$ by its remainders $\tilde{\varphi}_i(z, w)$ after the division on $P_0(z, w)$ we obtain an equivalent problem. Observe also that the remainders $\tilde{\varphi}_i(z, w)$

are also Weierstraß polynomials of degree $d-1$. It follows that in a solution of the new problem

$$(1.4) \quad \dot{F} = \dot{G} \cdot P_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0 \cdot \dot{g} + \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{s}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$$

the function $\dot{G}$ must be also a Weierstraß polynomial of degree $d-1$.

Now consider (1.4) as a system of linear equations on the coefficients of Weierstraß polynomial $\dot{G}$ and $\dot{g}$ so that $\dot{F} - \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{s}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$ is the inhomogeneous part. Then the matrix of coefficients of the linear system is the Sylvester matrix of the polynomials P_0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0$, so that its determinant is the resultant of the polynomials P_0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0$, i.e. the discriminant of P_0 with respect to the variable w . Let us denote this discriminant by $D(z)$. Then $D(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ and the zero set of $D(z)$ is exactly the projection of the support of $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$. Since $D(z)$ is not vanishing identically, it follows the uniqueness of the solution of (1.4) with given $\dot{F}$. By the hypotheses of the lemma, for a given $\dot{F}$ there exists a unique collection of parameters $(\dot{s}_1, \dots, \dot{s}_n)$ such that $\dot{F} - \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{s}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$ lies in the ideal generated by P_0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0$. It follows then the solvability of the linear problem (1.2). $\square$

Corollary 1.4. i) *The length n of the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ equals to the total vanishing order of the discriminant of the Weierstraß polynomial of C^* .*

ii) *The length of the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$ is constant under small deformations of C^* .*

Proof. i) We maintain the notation used in the proof of **Lemma 1.3**. Let us apply the elementary ideals theory to the Sylvester matrix of P_0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0$. Since every ideal of $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ containing $D(z)$ is principle, we can bring the Sylvester matrix in the diagonal form, so that the product of the diagonal elements is $D(z)$. Now it is clear that the minimal number of the correction terms $\dot{s}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i(z)_i$ needed to solve (1.4) with given $\dot{F}$ is the sum of total vanishing orders of the obtained diagonal elements.

The second assertion follows from the first one. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. i) *Every curve $C^* \in \mathcal{X}^d(\Delta^2)$ is isomorphic to a curve $C \in \mathcal{X}^d(\Delta^2)$ defined by a polynomial.*

ii) *The deformation space $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ has natural algebraic structure.*

Proof. i) By **Lemma 1.3**, it is sufficient to approximate the Weierstraß polynomial P_0 of C^* by a polynomial P lying in the ideal generated by P_0 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0$.

ii) By **Part i)**, we may assume that C^* is algebraic, i.e. the Weierstraß polynomial $P_0(z, w)$ of C^* is a polynomial in the usual sense. Let $\varphi_1(z, w), \dots, \varphi_n(z, w)$ be polynomials inducing a basis of $\mathcal{T}^1(C/\Delta) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta^2)/(P_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial w} P_0)$ and $F(z, w; t)$ a **polynomial** in variables z, w , and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$, such that $F(z, w; 0)$ is a defining polynomial for C^* . We assert that the functions $G(z, w)$ and $g(z, w)$ solving the equation (1.1) with r.h.s. $F(z, w; t)$ are polynomials in variables z and w , and that the dependence of the parameters $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and coefficients of $G(z, w)$ and $g(z, w)$ on $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is algebraic. The first assertion means that the degree of $G(z, w)$ and $g(z, w)$ with respect to variables z and w is bounded uniformly in t . This fact follows from the linearization of (1.1) given by (1.2). The second assertion is simply reformulation of the fact that (1.1) is a system of algebraic equations on coefficients. The corollary follows. $\square$

Definition 1.4. Let $C^* \in \mathcal{X}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve defined by a polynomial $P_0(z, w)$. Fix polynomials $\varphi_1(z, w), \dots, \varphi_n(z, w)$ generating a basis of $\mathcal{T}^1(C^*/\Delta)$. Define $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$

as the germ of $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ at $s = 0$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(C^*/\Delta)$ as the divisor of $P(z, w; s) := P_0(z, w) + \sum_i s_i \varphi_i(z, w)$, $\mathcal{C}_s \subset \Delta^2$ as the fiber over s of the projection $\pi_{\text{Def}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$, and $\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta$ as the projection on the z -disc.

It follows from *Lemma 1.3* that $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta) \xleftarrow{\pi_{\text{Def}}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \Delta$ is a universal deformation family of the curve C^* equipped with the proper projection onto Δ . In particular, for another choice of $\varphi_1(z, w), \dots, \varphi_n(z, w)$ we obtain an isomorphic family. As usually, we identify the germ $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ with a small neighborhood of $s = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ representing it.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve and $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} = \text{sing}(C^*/\Delta)$ the set of singular points of $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$. Denote by C_j^* the germ of C^* at p_j . Then there exist a natural isomorphism $\psi : \prod_j \text{Def}(C_j^*/\Delta) \cong \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ and a natural imbedding $\prod_j \mathcal{C}(C_j^*/\Delta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(C^*/\Delta)$ compatible with the isomorphism ψ and the projections $\pi_{\text{Def}} : \mathcal{C}(C_j^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Def}(C_j^*/\Delta)$.*

Proof. Let $P(z, w; s) = P_0(z, w) + \sum_i s_i \varphi_i(z, w)$ be the polynomial defining a family realizing $\pi_{\text{Def}} : \mathcal{C}(C^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. Choose disjoint neighborhoods U_j of p_j which are small bi-discs with sides parallel to Δ^2 , such that $C \cap U_j$ lie in $\mathcal{Z}^{d_j}(U_j)$ for the corresponding degree d_j . Counting parameters, we conclude that the restrictions of deformation family $\mathcal{C}(C^*/\Delta)$ to U_j induce the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Now let us describe deformation families $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ of lower dimension.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve, and let n be the dimension of $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$.*

i) *If $n = 0$, then C^* consists of d disjoint discs and the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial d -sheeted covering.*

ii) *If $n = 1$, then C^* consists of $d - 1$ disjoint discs and the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on $d - 2$ of the discs, and a 2-sheeted covering with one simple branching on the remaining disc.*

iii) *If $n = 2$, then the following cases are possible.*

(a-b) *C^* consists of $d - 2$ disjoint discs, the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on $d - 4$ of the discs, and a 2-sheeted covering with one simple ramification on each of the remaining 2 discs. The ramification points can be projected onto 2 distinct points on Δ (case (a)) or onto a single point (case (b)).*

(c) *C^* consists of $d - 2$ disjoint discs, the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on $d - 3$ of the discs, and a 3-sheeted covering with 2 simple branching on the remaining disc.*

(d) *C^* consists of $d - 2$ disjoint discs, the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on $d - 3$ of the discs, and a 3-sheeted covering with one vertical inflection point on the remaining disc.*

(e) *C^* consists of an annulus and $d - 2$ discs, the components are disjoint, the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on the discs and a 2-sheeted covering with 2 simple branching on the annulus.*

(f) *C^* consists of d discs, 2 of them meets transversally at one point, the remaining $d - 2$ are disjoint, the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is a trivial covering on every disc.*

The proof of the lemma is straightforward. Let us observe that in the cases (a), (c), and (e) we have 2 simple ramifications over 2 distinct points z_1 and z_2 on the disc Δ , whereas the cases (b), (d), and (f), respectively, correspond to the case when the points z_1 and z_2 collapse.

Definition 1.5. Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve. Set $n := \dim \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. Define $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ as the locus of those $s \in \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ for which the curve C_s has exactly ν nodes and no other singularities and the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ has $n - 2\nu$ simple branchings distinct from the projections of nodes. Let $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ be the closure of $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$.

ii) For $s \in \text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$, denote by $B_\nu(s)$ the branching divisor of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ and by $\sigma_{\nu,i}(s)$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 2\nu$, the i -th symmetric polynomial of points of $B_\nu(s)$. Set $\mathcal{D}_\nu(s)(z) := z^{n-2\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2\nu} (-1)^i \sigma_{\nu,i}(s) z^{n-2\nu-i}$, so that $\mathcal{D}_\nu(s)(z)$ is the unitary polynomial in z with zero divisor $B_\nu(s)$. Denote $\mathcal{D}_\nu(s) := (\sigma_{\nu,1}(s), \dots, \sigma_{\nu,n-2\nu}(s))$.

Lemma 1.8. i) $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ is an algebraic subset of $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ of codimension ν .

ii) The functions $\sigma_{\nu,i}(s)$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 2\nu$, are holomorphic on $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ and extend holomorphically on $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$.

Proof. First, let us observe that the functions $\sigma_{0,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are well-defined and holomorphic on the neighborhood of C^* in the whole space $\mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$. This follows from the construction of the functions $\sigma_{0,i}$ which is as follows. Starting from a curve $C \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ close to C^* , we take its Weierstraß polynomial $P_C(z, w) = w^d + \sum_i w^{d-i} a_i(z)$; compute the discriminant $D_C(z)$ of P_C with respect to the variable w , this is a polynomial in coefficients $a_i(z)$ of P_C ; and then represent the discriminant in the form $D_C(z) = \mathcal{D}_C(z) \cdot h_C(z)$ with a non-vanishing holomorphic function $h_C(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ and a unitary polynomial $\mathcal{D}_C(z)$ in the variable z . Then $\mathcal{D}_C(z)$ is the desired polynomial defining the branching divisor of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C \rightarrow \Delta$ for a *non-singular* curve C . In particular, $\mathcal{D}_0(s)(z) = \mathcal{D}_{C_s}(z)$.

Now observe that for generic $s \in \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ the polynomial $\mathcal{D}_0(s)(z)$ has the following structure: it has $n - 2\nu$ simple zeros $z'_1, \dots, z'_{n-2\nu}$ and ν double zeros $z''_1, \dots, z''_\nu$. We contend that the set of unitary polynomials $p(z)$ of degree n having this structure is given by a quasi-affine set A_ν° in $\mathbb{C}^n$. To show this let us consider the map $\text{Sym}_\nu : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ associating to each n -tuple $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ its elementary symmetric polynomials $\sigma_1(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, \sigma_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Let A_ν be the Sym_ν -image of the set given by equations $z_1 = z_2, z_3 = z_4, \dots, z_{2\nu-1} = z_{2\nu}$. Since Sym_ν is algebraic and proper, A_ν is Zariski closed in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and A_ν° is Zariski open subset of A_ν . The latter follows from the fact that the complement $A_\nu \setminus A_\nu^\circ$ describes further incidences among the zeros $z_1, \dots, z_n$ of the polynomial $p(z)$, so that it can be defined as the image of a union of appropriate linear subspaces on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to the map Sym_ν .

As we shall show later, for $\nu \leq \delta(C^*)$ the families $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ are non-empty and contains C^* . By *Lemmas 1.6* and *1.7*, $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ has codimension ν and $\mathcal{D}_0(\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)) \subset A_\nu^\circ$. By continuity, we obtain $\mathcal{D}_0(\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)) \subset A_\nu$. Thus $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta) \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(A_\nu)$. Comparing codimension we conclude that $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ is a union of some irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(A_\nu)$. *Lemma 1.7* shows which components of $\mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(A_\nu)$ belong to $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$: exactly those ones which meet $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

The second part of the lemma is straightforward. $\square$

Lemma 1.9. Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve represented by a Weierstraß polynomial $P^*(z, w)$ such that $\text{sing}(C^*/\Delta) = \{0\}$. Let δ be the nodal number of C^* at 0 and b the number of boundary components of C^* . Then

a) For any ν with $0 \leq \nu \leq \delta$ the space $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ contains C^* ;

b) for any ν with $0 \leq \nu \leq \delta$ and $s \in \text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ the normalization $\tilde{C}_s$ of C_s has the Euler characteristic

$$(1.5) \quad \chi(\tilde{C}_s) = b + 2(\nu - \delta);$$

c) $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ is irreducible at C^* . In particular, any two nodal curves $C_0, C_1 \in \text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$, sufficiently close to C^* , can be connected by a path C_t in $\text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$, also close enough to C^* .

Proof. Part a). It follows from the hypothesis of the lemma and the Riemann-Hurwitz formula that C^* consists of b discs passing through origin $0 \in \Delta^2$. Let C_j^* , $j = 1, \dots, b$, be the irreducible components of C^* and $u_j^* : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^2$ their parameterizations, i.e. holomorphic maps such that $u_j^*(\Delta) = C_j^*$. We may assume that the first component of each u_j^* is given by the formula $\text{pr}_1 \circ u_j^*(\zeta_j) = \zeta_j^{d_j}$ where d_j is the degree of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_j^* \rightarrow \Delta$ and ζ_j is a complex coordinate on the parameterizing disc Δ . Making an appropriate approximation, we may additionally assume that every map $u_j^* : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^2$ is polynomial, so that each $C_j^* \subset \Delta^2$ extends to a rational affine algebraic curve $u_j^*(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

Let (z, w) denote the complex coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Consider small perturbations $u'_j : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ of the maps $u_j^* : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ in which the z -component of each u'_j varies in the space of unitary polynomials of degree d_j whereas the w -component remains unchanged. Let $C'_j := u'_j(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, $j = 1, \dots, b$, be the corresponding rational curves, and $C' := \cup_{j=1}^b C'_j$ their union. Then, for a generic choice of u'_j , the obtained curve C' must be also generic enough. In particular, the only singularities of C' are nodes, the projections $\text{pr}_1 : C'_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z$ onto the z -axis have only simple branchings, $d_j - 1$ for each C'_j , the branchings are disjoint from each other and from the projection of nodes. The number of nodes of C' is δ , this is essentially the definition of the virtual number of nodes $\delta(C^*, 0)$. By [Lemma 1.3](#), C' can be transformed into a curve $C_s \in \text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$, sufficiently close to C^* . To obtain the assertion for arbitrary $\nu = 0, \dots, \delta$, one needs to smooth $\delta - \nu$ nodes on C_s . The needed construction is provided by [Lemma 1.6](#).

Part b). Let $n := \dim \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. Then $\dim \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta) = n - \nu$. On the other hand, it follows from [Lemmas 1.6](#) and [1.7](#) that for $s \in \text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ the branchings of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ and the projections of nodes of C_s form a local coordinate system on $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$. Thus $n - \nu = b - \chi(\tilde{C}_s) + \nu$ by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. Finally note that by the definition of $\delta = \delta(C^*, 0)$ the $s \in \text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ the curve C_s consists of b discs. Thus $\chi(\tilde{C}_s) = b$ in this case. The assertion follows.

Part c). The irreducibility of $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ is equivalent to connectedness of $\text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ in a neighborhood of C^* , which is the second assertion of [Part c\)](#). Let C_0, C_1 be two curves in $\text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ sufficiently close to C^* . As it is shown in the proof of [Part a\)](#), we may assume that both C_0 and C_1 extend to rational affine algebraic curves in $\mathbb{C}^2$, $\cup_{j=1}^b u_{0,j}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\cup_{j=1}^b u_{1,j}(\mathbb{C})$ respectively, where the maps $u_{i,j} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ are polynomial. Moreover, we may assume that $u_{i,j}(\Delta)$ are the components of C_i , and that for every $j = 1, \dots, b$ the maps $u_{0,j}$ and $u_{1,j}$ are close on $\Delta(2)$, i.e. $\|u_{0,j}(\zeta) - u_{1,j}(\zeta)\| \ll 1$ for $|\zeta| < 2$.

Consider the polynomial maps $u_{\lambda,j}(\zeta) := (1 - \lambda)u_{0,j}(\zeta) + \lambda u_{1,j}(\zeta)$ where λ varies in the unit disc Δ . Set $C_\lambda := \Delta^2 \cap (\cup_{j=1}^b u_{\lambda,j}(\mathbb{C}))$. Then we obtain a family of curves in $\mathcal{X}^d(\Delta)$ sufficiently close to C^* . It follows that there exists a family $\{C_{s(\lambda)}\}$ in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ such that every $C_{s(\lambda)}$ is isomorphic to C_λ . Moreover, the dependence $s(\lambda)$ is holomorphic

since so is the family $\{C_\lambda\}$. Hence for all by finitely many $\lambda \in \Delta$ the curve $C_{s(\lambda)}$ lies in $\text{Def}_\delta^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$. The lemma follows. $\square$

1.3. Proof of Main Theorem. An analogue of *Main Theorem* for pairs “curve + projection” is

Theorem 1.10. *Let $C^* \in \mathcal{Z}^d(\Delta^2)$ be a curve whose unique singular point is the origin $0 \in \Delta^2$. Let $\delta = \delta(C^*, 0)$ be the corresponding virtual number of nodes.*

Then for every $\nu < \delta$, every irreducible component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^/\Delta)$ contains a component of $\text{Def}_{\nu+1}^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$.*

It is obvious that *Theorem 1.10* implies *Main Theorem*.

Let us explain the ideas lying behind the proof of *Theorem 1.10*. A trivial but important observation is that the correspondence $(s \in \text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)) \xrightarrow{F_H} (\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta)$ defines a holomorphic map F_H between $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$ and the Hurwitz scheme $\mathbf{H}_{d,m}$ of simply branched coverings $f : C \rightarrow Oz$ over the axis Oz of degree d with m branchings, $m := n - 2\nu$. More precisely, the image of C_s under F_H is the trivial extension of the ramified covering $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ to the covering $f_s : \tilde{C}_s \rightarrow Oz$. Obviously, the map $\mathcal{D}_\nu : \text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ factors in the composition $\pi_H \circ F_H$, where $\pi_H : \mathbf{H}_{d,m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \setminus D_m$ is the natural map associating to each covering $f : C \rightarrow Oz$ its branching divisor and $D_m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is the discriminant locus.

The map $\pi_H : \mathbf{H}_{d,m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \setminus D_m$ is a non-ramified covering and its monodromy, the subject of the Hurwitz problem, is understood well enough so that one can show the following: In the case $m \geq d$, every branched covering $f : C \rightarrow Oz$ of degree d with m simple branchings can be degenerated in that way that two simple branchings “collapse” yielding a node. More precisely, one uses the monodromy of $\pi_H : \mathbf{H}_{d,m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \setminus D_m$ to attain to a covering $f : C \rightarrow Oz$ which have the same monodromy at two simple branchings, say $z_1, z_2 \in Oz$, and then contracts z_1 with z_2 producing the desired node. Notice that the whole construction can be realized by moving a single branching of $f : C \rightarrow Oz$, say z_1 , along an appropriate path γ in Oz winding around remaining branchings $z_2, \dots, z_m$. Remark that a similar argument is used in [G-H-S].

To realize this construction in our setting, it is necessary to have enough room for maneuvering with branch points of the covering. However, it is not so, and the reason for the failure is that the map $s \in \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta) \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\nu(s) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is, in general, not proper.

As an example, let us consider deformation of the ordinary triple point. Shifting the components of the singularity C^* we obtain three nodes which, after smoothing, yield six branch points $z_1, \dots, z_6$ of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$. It follows from *Lemma 1.6* that if $z_1, \dots, z_6$ are pairwise distinct, then any small movement of $z_1, \dots, z_6$ can be realized by an appropriate deformation of $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$. This means that the image of $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ in $\mathbb{C}^6$ contains an open set. However, there exists no deformation of C^* for which five branch points, say $z_2, \dots, z_6$, collapse and the sixth point z_1 remains distinct. Let us assume the contrary and denote the collapsed points $z_2 = \dots = z_6$ by z^* . The monodromy at the points z_1 and z^* in the symmetric group Sym_3 must be a transposition and a product of 5 transpositions, respectively. However, since the total monodromy of $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ is trivial, we must have the same monodromy at z_1 and at z^* . Thus we conclude that C_s has two components, say C'_s and C''_s , and the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ is an isomorphism on C'_s and 2 sheeted covering with 2 simple branchings on C''_s . Now, remembering meaning of the points z_1 and z^* , we see that C'_s and C''_s must have a single intersection point p

with intersection index 2, whose projection on Δ is the point z^* . But this implies that C'_s must be vertical at p and have ramification over z^* , a contradiction.

Since we have no possibility to collapse the branchings of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ in the desired way, we study what type of a collapse can be reached. This is the next idea of the proof. For this purpose we fix an irreducible component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ and consider the loci of the parameters s in this component for which the divisor $B_\nu(s)$ has multiplicity at least k in the origin $0 \in \Delta$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 1.6. Define

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^*/\Delta) := \{s \in \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta) : \mathcal{D}_\nu(s)(z) \text{ is divisible by } z^k\}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) := \{s \in \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta) : \mathcal{D}_0(s)(z) = z^n\}$$

Besides, we fix an irreducible component $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ through C^* and set

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{Y}_k := \mathcal{Y} \cap \text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^*/\Delta)$$

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{Y}^* := \mathcal{Y} \cap \text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)$$

Thus the loci $\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \mathcal{Y}$ are given by the condition “ $B_0(s)$ is supported in $0 \in \Delta$ ”.

Lemma 1.11. i) $\text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_k \subset \mathcal{Y}$ are analytic subsets of codimension at most k .

ii) For $s \in \text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)$, the curve C_s consists of discs and has a unique singular point lying on the axis Ow . Moreover, $\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$.

iii) Assume that the generic curve in the family $\mathcal{Y}$ is irreducible. Then the codimension of $\mathcal{Y}^*$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ is at least $d+1$ except the following cases when the codimension is d :

1. C^* has a node at $0 \in \Delta^2$;
2. C^* consists of two smooth discs which are vertical at the origin $0 \in \Delta^2$.

Remark. Part ii) of the lemma states that the locus $\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)$ consists of curves which have the same topological type of the singularity as C^* .

Proof. i) By definition, $\text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_k \subset \mathcal{Y}$ are given by k holomorphic equations $\sigma_{\nu,i}(s) = 0$ with $i = n - 2\nu, n - 2\nu - 1, \dots, n - 2\nu - k + 1$.

ii) By the definition of $\mathcal{D}_0$, for every $s \in \text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)$, all the singular points of C_s and all the ramification points of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_s \rightarrow \Delta$ lie on the axis Ow . Hence irreducible components of C_s are discs. Moreover, since C_s is connected, there must be a unique intersection point of the irreducible components of C_s . Indeed, otherwise one would have either a ramification or a crossing over some $z' \neq 0 \in \Delta$, which contradicts the condition $\mathcal{D}_0(s)(z) = z^n$. Thus C_s has a unique singular point p_s^* . This implies that $\delta(C_s, p_s^*) = \delta = \delta(C^*, 0)$, which means $\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$.

iii) We shall compare the codimensions of $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^*$ in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. By definition, $\mathcal{Y}$ has codimension ν in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. To compute $\text{codim}(\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta))$, we first observe that $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is contained in an irreducible analytic set $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ which has codimension δ in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. So $\text{codim}(\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)) = \text{codim}(\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)) + \delta$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)$ it is enough to estimate $\text{codim}(\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta))$.

In order to estimate the latter it is sufficient to construct a complex manifold $\mathcal{V}$ with a holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ such that $f(\mathcal{V}) \ni C^*$, and then estimate $\text{codim}(f^{-1}(\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta)) \subset \mathcal{V})$. By the universality of $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$, such a map $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ corresponds to certain family of deformations of C^* . Recall that the

family $\text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ parameterizes those deformations of C^* which consists of discs, and the number of these discs—denoted by b —is the number of irreducible components of C^* .

The desired family is constructed as follows. Let C_i^* be the irreducible components of C^* and $u_i^* : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^2$ parameterizations of C_i^* . Since every projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_i^* \rightarrow \Delta$ has a unique branching at the origin $0 \in \Delta$, u_i^* can be chosen in the form $(\zeta^{d_i}, \varphi_i^*(\zeta))$, where d_i is the degree of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_i^* \rightarrow \Delta$. In particular, $\sum_{i=1}^b d_i = d$, the degree of $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$. By *Corollary 1.5* we may assume that every φ_i^* is holomorphic in some larger disc $\Delta(r)$, $r > 1$. Consider holomorphic maps $u_i : \Delta(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$u_i(\zeta) = (\zeta^{d_i} + p_i(\zeta), \varphi_i^*(\zeta) + q_i(\zeta))$$

where $p_i(\zeta)$ is a polynomial of degree $d_i - 1$ with zero free term and $q_i(\zeta)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 1. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a sufficiently small ball in the space of the coefficients of the polynomials p_i and q_i . We write $p_{i,v} = p_{i,v}(\zeta)$, $q_{i,v} = q_{i,v}(\zeta)$, and $u_{i,v} = u_{i,v}(\zeta)$ for the polynomials and holomorphic maps corresponding to the parameter $v \in \mathcal{V}$. The curve C_v is then $(\cup_{i=1}^b u_{i,v}(\Delta(r))) \cap \Delta^2$. Since the family $\{C_v\}$ depends holomorphically on $v \in \mathcal{V}$ it is given by a holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. Moreover, $f(\mathcal{V}) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)$ by *Lemma 1.9*. Set $\mathcal{V}^* := f^{-1}(\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta))$. Then, as it was already noted, $\text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{V}) \leq \text{codim}(\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta))$. This means that $\text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{Y}) = \text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)) - \nu \geq \text{codim}(\text{Def}^*(C^*/\Delta) \subset \text{Def}_\delta(C^*/\Delta)) + \delta - \nu \geq \text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{V}) + \delta - \nu$.

Estimating $\text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{V})$ we first note that $p_{i,v}(\zeta) = 0$ if $v \in \mathcal{V}^*$ since otherwise the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_{i,v} \rightarrow \Delta$ would have branching outside $0 \in \Delta$. This defines a linear subspace $\mathcal{V}'$ in $\mathcal{V}$ of codimension $\sum_{i=1}^b (d_i - 1) = d - b$. Perturbation of the free term of the polynomial q_i corresponds to a vertical shift of $C_{i,v}$. This means that we must impose further $b - 1$ conditions to insure that $C_{i,v}$ pass through the same point on the axis $0w$. Together we obtain a linear subspace $\mathcal{V}''$ of $\mathcal{V}$ of codimension $d - 1$ parameterizing linear maps $q_i(\zeta) = a_i \zeta$. Since $\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{V}''$, the codimension of $\mathcal{V}^*$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ is not less than d and strictly larger d if $\nu \leq \delta - 2$.

Assume that at least one component of C^* , say C_1^* , is singular at $0 \in \Delta^2$. Then the parameterizing map u_1^* has the form $u_1^*(\zeta) = (\zeta^{d_1}, \alpha_l \zeta^l + \alpha_{l+1} \zeta^{l+1} + \dots)$ with $d_1 \geq 2$ and $l \geq 2$. Then for every perturbation of v in $\mathcal{V}''$ by means of a non-zero linear term $q_1(\zeta) = a_1 \zeta$ the component $C_{i,v}$ must have a node outside the axis $0w$. This means that in this case the set $\mathcal{V}^*$ is contained in the subspace of $\mathcal{V}''$ given by the condition $q_1(\zeta) = 0$, and hence $\text{codim}(\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{Y}) \geq d + 1$.

It remains to consider the case when $\nu = \delta - 1$ and every component of C^* at $0 \in \Delta^2$ is non-singular. Formula (1.5) and the irreducibility of a generic curve in $\mathcal{Y}$ imply that the number b of the components of C^* must be 1 or 2. However, the possibility $b = 1$ is excluded since otherwise C^* must consist of a single non-singular component $0 \in \Delta^2$. Thus C^* consists of two non-singular components, C_1^* and C_2^* . Here we must distinguish the following three special subcases according to the degrees d_1 and d_2 of the projections $\text{pr}_1 : C_1^* \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\text{pr}_1 : C_2^* \rightarrow \Delta$, respectively:

- (a) both d_1 and d_2 equal 1, i.e. both C_1^* and C_2^* project isomorphically onto Δ ;
- (b) $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 > 1$;
- (c) $d_1 > 1$ and $d_2 > 1$.

Obviously, the subcases (b) and (c) correspond to the subcases (1) and (2) of the lemma, respectively. In the subcases a) the degree d of $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ is 2, the Weierstraß polynomial has the form $P(z, w) = w^2 + a(z)w + b(z)$, and its discriminant is $a^2(z) -$

$4b(z)$. This implies that the map $\mathcal{D}_0(s)$ is surjective in a neighborhood of the value $s^* \in \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$ corresponding to the curve C^* , and $\text{codim}(\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)) = 2\delta$. Thus $\text{codim}(\mathcal{Y}^* \subset \text{Def}_{\delta-1}(C^*/\Delta)) = \delta + 1 \geq d + 1 = 3$ except the case $\delta = 1$ when C^* has a nodal singularity at $0 \in \Delta^2$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Let us give a proof *Theorem 1.10* for the special cases which appear in *Lemma 1.11 iii*).

Lemma 1.12. *Assume that the curve C^* has two irreducible components at 0 which are non-singular. Let $\delta = \delta(C^*, 0)$ be the corresponding virtual number of nodes.*

Then for every $\nu < \delta$, every irreducible component of $\text{Def}_\nu(C^/\Delta)$ contains a component of $\text{Def}_{\nu+1}^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$.*

Proof. Consider first the special case when for every component C_i of C^* the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_i^* \rightarrow \Delta$ has degree 1. Then $\text{pr}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ has degree 2 and the Weierstraß polynomial of C^* is of the form $P(z, w) = w^2 + \varphi(z)w + \psi(z)$. Hence the discriminant $D(z)$ of P in the variable w is $D(z) = \varphi^2(z) - 4\psi(z)$, which is linear in $\psi(z)$. This implies that the map $\mathcal{D}_0 : \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $n = 2\delta$, is a biholomorphism on the image. Via zeroes of the discriminant $D(z)$ we have a complete control on what happens in $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. In particular, a curve C lies in $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ if and only if the discriminant $D(z)$ has exactly ν double zeroes and $2(\delta - \nu)$ simple ones. Moreover, such a curve C can be holomorphically degenerated into a curve lying in $\text{Def}_{\nu+1}^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$. This implies the assertion of the lemma for the special case.

In the remaining case the curve C^* has two irreducible components at the origin $0 \in \Delta^2$, both non-singular, such that at least one of them is vertical at 0. Choose local holomorphic coordinate $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ at the origin $0 \in \Delta^2$ such that the corresponding projection $\tilde{\text{pr}}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ has degree 2 at the origin. Then in a neighborhood of the origin every sufficiently small deformation C_s of C^* is given by the Weierstraß polynomial $\tilde{P}_s(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w}) = \tilde{w}^2 + \tilde{\varphi}_s(\tilde{z})\tilde{w} + \tilde{\psi}_s(\tilde{z})$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)(\tilde{z})$ be the polynomial of the degree n in $\tilde{z}$, $n := 2\delta$, whose zero divisor is the zero divisor of the discriminant $\tilde{D}_s(z) = \tilde{\varphi}_s^2(z) - 4\tilde{\psi}_s(z)$. Then the coefficients of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)(\tilde{z})$ define a holomorphic map $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} : \text{Def}(C^*/\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ which has maximal rank at the base point s^* corresponding to C^* . One uses the projection $\tilde{\text{pr}}_1 : C^* \rightarrow \Delta$ to produce the desired additional node on a curve C from $\text{Def}_\nu^\circ(C^*/\Delta)$. $\square$

Now we proceed to the proof of *Theorem 1.10*. Assume that the singularity of the curve C^* is not of the type treated in *Lemma 1.12*, i.e. that C^* has at least 3 components or that at least one irreducible component of C^* is singular at the origin 0.

For every index $k = 1, \dots, d$, we fix a decreasing sequence of irreducible components $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ of $\mathcal{Y}_k$ at C^* so that $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}'_0 \supset \mathcal{Y}'_1 \supset \mathcal{Y}'_2 \dots$

Proposition 1.13. *There exists index $k^* \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ such that:*

- i) *For $k = 0, \dots, k^* - 1$ a generic curve C of the family $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ has the following structure:*
 - *C is non-singular at the axis Ow ;*
 - *the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C \rightarrow \Delta$ has branching order k at the origin $0 \in \Delta$ and only simple branchings outside the origin;*
 - *C is nodal with exactly ν nodes.*
- ii) *A generic curve C of the family $\mathcal{Y}'_{k^*}$ has the following structure:*
 - *outside the axis Ow , C is nodal with exactly ν nodes;*
 - *on the axis Ow , C has a unique singular point which either is a node or consists of two non-singular vertical branches.*

It follows from the second assertion of the proposition and *Lemma 1.12* that a generic curve C from $\mathcal{Y}'_{k*}$ lies in the family $\text{Def}_{\nu+1}(C^*/\Delta)$ and is a non-singular point there. This in turn implies *Theorem 1.10* and hence *Maim Theorem*.

Proof. We use induction in k showing that if for some $k = 0, 1, \dots$ the structure of component $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ is given by *i)*, then the structure of $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ is given by either *i)* or *ii)*. We take the case $k = 0$ as the base since $\mathcal{Y}'_0$ has the property *i)* of the proposition. Observe also that the maximal possible branching order of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C \rightarrow \Delta$ over $0 \in \Delta$ is $d - 1$. Thus for some $k^* \leq d$ we must obtain the case *ii)*, and the induction will terminate.

So now we suppose that for some given $k < d$ the component $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ has the properties listed in *i)*. Let χ_k (resp. χ_{k+1}) denote the Euler characteristic of (the normalization of) a generic curve from $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ (resp. $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$). It follows from the assumption that $\chi_k = b + 2(\nu - \delta)$, whereas there are following two possibilities for χ_{k+1} : $\chi_{k+1} = \chi_k$ and $\chi_{k+1} > \chi_k$. We consider these two cases separately.

Case $\chi_{k+1} = \chi_k$. To every curve C from $\text{Def}_\nu(C^*/\Delta)$ whose normalization $\tilde{C}$ satisfies $\chi(\tilde{C}) = \chi_k$ we shall associate the following data: The *zero divisor* $Z_C = \sum_i m_i \zeta_i$ of the composition $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \Delta$, denoted by $\text{pr}_1 : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \Delta$ and considered as a holomorphic function, and the collection of the *multiplicities* (m_i) of the zero divisor Z_C , defined up to reordering.

Observe that the ramification points of the map $\text{pr}_1 : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \Delta$ are exactly those $\zeta_i \in \tilde{C}$ for which $m_i \geq 2$, and the branching index of C at $0 \in \Delta$ is $\sum_i (m_i - 1)$. Thus $\sum_i (m_i - 1) = k$ (resp. $\geq k + 1$) for a generic curve C in $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ (resp. in $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$). Moreover, the multiplicities (m_i) are the same for two generic curves in $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$.

Recall that by *Corollary 1.5* a generic curve C in $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ can be extended to a holomorphic curve C^+ in a larger bi-disc $\Delta(r) \times \Delta$ with $r > 1$, such that the Euler characteristic of the normalization $\tilde{C}^+$ of C^+ is still χ_k . Let $f : \tilde{C}^+ \rightarrow \Delta(r) \times \Delta$ be the composition $\tilde{C}^+ \rightarrow C^+ \hookrightarrow \Delta(r) \times \Delta$. Then every family $f_s : \tilde{C}^+ \rightarrow \Delta(r) \times \Delta$ of sufficiently small perturbations of f parameterized by $s \in \Delta$ induces a deformation family C_s of C defined by $C_s := f_s(\tilde{C}^+) \cap \Delta^2$. Observe that under condition $\sum_j (m_j - 1) \geq k + 1$ on multiplicities the curves C_s remain in $\mathcal{Y}_{k+1}$ and hence in $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ by irreducibility reason. On the other hand, for an appropriate choice of the family f_s , $s \in \Delta$, the multiplicities of the curves C_s will satisfy the condition $\sum_j (m_j - 1) = k + 1$ for any $s \neq 0$. Thus $\sum_j (m_j - 1) = k + 1$ for a generic curve C from $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$. In a similar way one shows that a generic curve C from $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ must have the properties *i)* of the proposition.

Case $\chi_{k+1} > \chi_k$. Let $C^\dagger$ be a generic curve from $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ and $p_1^\dagger, \dots, p_l^\dagger \in C^\dagger$ the singular points of $C^\dagger$ and the ramification points of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C^\dagger \rightarrow \Delta$. Choose sufficiently small bi-discs Δ_j^2 centered at p_j such that for the curves $C_j^\dagger := \Delta_j^2 \cap C^\dagger$ the projections $\text{pr}_1 : C_j^\dagger \rightarrow \Delta_j$ on the z -component are proper. In particular, Δ_j^2 are mutually disjoint. Then by *Lemma 1.6* we obtain a natural decomposition

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \prod_j \text{Def}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j).$$

More precisely, this should be understood as a natural isomorphism of the germs (and hence of small neighborhoods) of the spaces at the points corresponding to the curve $C^\dagger$.

It follows from *Corollary 1.4* that we can consider the space $\text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$ as an open subset of $\text{Def}(C^*/\Delta)$. In particular, the loci $\mathcal{Y}'_k \cap \text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1} \cap \text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$

describe the behavior of curves of the families $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ near $C^\dagger$. We contend that the decomposition (1.10) is compatible with the families $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$.

To show this let us take a generic curve C in $\text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$ sufficiently close to $C^\dagger$. Considering the pieces $C_j := \Delta_j^2 \cap C$ of C , we can “decompose” the numerical invariants characterizing $\text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$. Namely, we obtain the following decompositions:

- (a) $\nu = \sum_j \nu_j$ where ν_j is the number of nodes of C_j ;
- (b) $k = \sum_j k_j$ where k_j is the branching degree of the projection $\text{pr}_1 : C_j \rightarrow \Delta_j$ over $z = 0$ if the point p_j lies on the OW -axis and $k_j = 0$ otherwise.

Using this we obtain further four natural decompositions:

- (c) $\text{Def}_\nu(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \bigcup_{\sum_j \nu_j = \nu} \prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$;
- (d) $\mathcal{D}_\nu(s)(z) = \prod_j \mathcal{D}_{\nu_j}(s_j)(z)$ for $s = (s_j) \in \prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$;
- (f) $\text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \bigcup'_{\substack{\sum_j \nu_j = \nu \\ \sum_j k_j = k}} \prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j, k_j}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$,
- (g) $\text{Def}_{\nu, k+1}(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \bigcup'_{\substack{\sum_j \nu_j = \nu \\ \sum_j k_j = k+1}} \prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j, k_j}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$,

where the union in (f) and (g) is made only over those decompositions $k = \sum_j k_j$ or $k+1 = \sum_j k_j$, respectively, which can appear in (b), i.e. for which the component k_j is zero if $p_j^\dagger$ does not lie on the axis OW .

Decomposition (c) follows from the definition of the families Def_ν and **Lemma 1.6**, decomposition (d) from **Lemma 1.8**, whereas decompositions (f) and (g) from (d) and also **Lemma 1.8**.

Since $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ are irreducible, there exist uniquely defined decompositions $\nu = \sum_j \nu_j^\dagger$, $k = \sum_j k_j^\dagger$ and $k+1 = \sum_j k_j^\dagger$, such that $\mathcal{Y}'_k \cap \text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$ lies in $\prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$ and $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1} \cap \text{Def}(C^\dagger/\Delta)$ lies in $\prod_j \text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$. Moreover, there exists the unique index j_0 , say $j_0 = 1$, such that $k_j^\dagger = k_j^\dagger$ for $j \neq j_0 = 1$ and $k_1^\dagger = k_1^\dagger + 1$. Observe that the corresponding point $p_1^\dagger$ lies on the axis OW .

The condition of genericity of $C^\dagger$ in $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ implies that $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$ is non-singular at $C^\dagger$. Thus every family $\text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$ is non-singular and generic at $C_j^\dagger$. This means that $\text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$ are non-singular and generic at $C_j^\dagger$ for every $j \neq 1$. Consequently, there exists irreducible components $\mathcal{Y}_{k_1^\dagger}^\dagger$ of $\text{Def}_{\nu_1^\dagger, k_1^\dagger}(C_1^\dagger/\Delta_1)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{k_1^\dagger+1}^\dagger$ of $\text{Def}_{\nu_1^\dagger, k_1^\dagger+1}(C_1^\dagger/\Delta_1)$ at $C_1^\dagger$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{Y}'_k \cap \text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \mathcal{Y}_{k_1^\dagger}^\dagger \times \prod_{j>1} \text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$$

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{Y}'_{k+1} \cap \text{Def}_{\nu,k}(C^\dagger/\Delta) = \mathcal{Y}_{k_1^\dagger+1}^\dagger \times \prod_{j>1} \text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$$

Moreover, every factor in (1.11) represents a family of curves which satisfies the conditions listed in the part i) of the hypothesis of the proposition.

We contend that the decompositions (1.11) and (1.12) are non-trivial in the sense that the dimension of every factor $\text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$ is positive and the number of the factors—which is the number l of the points $p_j^\dagger$ —is at least 2. The latter follows from **Lemma**

1.11, iii). Moreover, we have shown that at least one point $p_j^\dagger$ lies not on the axis Ow . This implies that the dimension of every factor $\text{Def}_{\nu_j^\dagger, k_j^\dagger}(C_j^\dagger/\Delta_j)$ is strictly less than the dimension of $\mathcal{Y}'_k$.

This provides that now we can use the induction in the dimension of the family $\mathcal{Y}'_k$. This means that since the dimension of $\mathcal{Y}_{k_1}^\dagger$ is strictly less than the dimension of $\mathcal{Y}'_k$ and since the family $\mathcal{Y}_{k_1}^\dagger$ has the properties listed in the part i) of the proposition, the family $\mathcal{Y}_{k_1+1}^\dagger$ must be either of type i) or type ii) of the proposition. In view of properties of the decomposition (1.12), the same dichotomy holds also for $\mathcal{Y}'_{k+1}$. $\square$

2. APPLICATION TO THE SYMPLECTIC ISOTOPY PROBLEM

2.1. The symplectic isotopy problem for nodal surfaces. We consider a version of the symplectic isotopy problem for surfaces in a symplectic 4-manifold with positive ordinary double points. As an introduction to the problem we refer to author's paper [Sh].

Definition 2.1. Let (X, ω) be a symplectic 4-manifold. A *nodal symplectic surface* in X is an immersed surface $\Sigma \subset X$ such that the restriction $\omega|_\Sigma$ never vanishes and the only singularities of Σ are positive ordinary double points, called *nodes*.

Note that the restriction $\omega|_\Sigma$ induces the orientation on Σ . Recall that an ordinary double point of an immersed oriented surface in a 4-fold is *positive* if the self intersection number at this point is $+1$.

Two closed nodal symplectic surfaces Σ_0 and Σ_1 in (X, ω) are *symplectically isotopic* if they can be connected by an isotopy Σ_t consisting of nodal symplectic surfaces. Such an isotopy Σ_t is called a *symplectic isotopy* between Σ_0 and Σ_1 .

Now the *symplectic isotopy problem* can be formulated as follows:

Given a symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) and closed irreducible nodal symplectic surfaces $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \subset X$ lying in the same integer homology class and having the same genus g , does there exist a symplectic isotopy between Σ_0 and Σ_1 ?

Note that the genus of a closed irreducible nodal symplectic surface Σ in a symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) can be computed by the *genus formula*

$$(2.1) \quad g(\Sigma) = \frac{[\Sigma]^2 - c_1(X, \omega) \cdot [\Sigma]}{2} + 1 - \delta(\Sigma),$$

where $\delta(\Sigma)$ is the number of nodes on Σ and $c_1(X, \omega)$ is the first Chern class of (X, ω) (see e.g. [Gro], [McD-Sa-1], or [Sh]). Thus in the situation of the symplectic isotopy problem the number of nodes on Σ_0 and Σ_1 is the same.

In the paper [Fi-St] Fintushel and Stern exhibited a class of symplectic 4-folds (X, ω) with the following property. There exists an infinite number of symplectic imbeddings $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow X$, such that all Σ_i are homologous but pairwise non-isotopic, even smoothly. So the answer to the symplectic isotopy problem can be negative in general. On the other hand, the results of the paper [Sh] give reason to hope that the answer might be positive for special symplectic 4-folds. Namely, in [Sh] the author formulated the following

Conjecture. *Let Σ_0 and Σ_1 be closed irreducible nodal symplectic surfaces in a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) lying in the same integer homology class and having the same genus g . Then a symplectic isotopy between Σ_0 and Σ_1 exists provided $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma_0] > 0$.*

As it was mentioned *Introduction*, the solution of the local Severi problem in the form of *Main Theorem* implies a solution of the local symplectic isotopy problem for the case of immersed surfaces with (positive) nodes. In order to explain this relation, let us make an overview of the method used for constructing a symplectic isotopy.

First, recall that there exists a complete classification of compact symplectic 4-folds X which come in question.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, ω) be a compact symplectic 4-fold and $\Sigma \subset X$ a closed symplectic nodal surface with $\langle c_1(X), [\Sigma] \rangle > 0$. Assume that Σ is not an exceptional sphere. Then X is either $\mathbb{CP}^2$, or a ruled complex surface, or its blow-up.*

For the precise description of the blow-up procedure in symplectic category we refer to [McD-3] and [Gi-St].

Proof. For the case of *imbedded* Σ , this proposition is proved in [McD-Sa-2], **Corollary 1.5**. The general case follows from the fact that every symplectic nodal surface Σ in a symplectic 4-fold can be “symplectically smoothed”, i.e. deformed into an imbedded symplectic surface. $\square$

The complete description of possible symplectic structures on such X was given in [McD-2], [La-McD], and [McD-Sa-2], see also [Li-Liu], [Liu].

Proposition 2.2. *i) Every symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is isotopic to a multiple of the Fubini-Study form ω_{st} .*

ii) Every symplectic form ω on a (minimal) ruled complex surface X is compatible with some genuine complex structure J .

The minimality is understood in the sense of ruled complex surfaces so that X is not a blow-up of another ruled complex surface. Thus the $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown-up once is minimal in this sense. The compatibility of J and ω means that they define a Kähler structure on X .

Now we recall main features of Gromov’s theory of pseudoholomorphic curves which is for the moment the most effective approach to the symplectic isotopy problem.

Definition 2.2. An *almost complex structure* on a manifold X is an endomorphism J of the tangent bundle TX such that $J^2 = -\text{Id}$. The pair (X, J) is called an *almost complex manifold*.

An almost complex structure J on a symplectic manifold (X, ω) is called ω -*tame* if $\omega(v, Jv) > 0$ for any non-zero tangent vector v . The set of ω -tame almost complex structures on X is denoted by $\mathcal{J}_\omega$.

Definition 2.3. A *parameterized J -holomorphic curve* in an almost complex manifold (X, J) is given by a Riemann surface S with a complex structure J_S on S and a (non-constant) C^1 -map $u : S \rightarrow X$ satisfying the *Cauchy-Riemann equation*

$$(2.2) \quad du + J \circ du \circ J_S = 0.$$

In this case we call u a *(J_S, J) -holomorphic map*, or simply *J -holomorphic map*. Here we use the fact that if u is not constant, then the structure J_S is unique. In particular, such a map u equips S with a complex structure J_S .

A *non-parameterized J -holomorphic curve* is the image $C = u(S)$ of a non-constant J -holomorphic map $u : S \rightarrow X$. Since the map u equips S with a complex structure J_S we

obtain a Riemann surface (S, J_S) which can be seen as the *normalization* $\tilde{C}$ of $C = u(S)$ provided C is non-multiple.

The structure of J -holomorphic maps and curves is very similar to that of usual holomorphic objects, for details see e.g. [Mi-Wh], [Sk-1], [Sk-2], and [Sh]. In particular, the notions of an *irreducible component* and the *multiplicity* of a component have the usual meaning.

The notion *J -holomorphic curve* or simply even *J -curve* means either parameterized or non-parameterized curve. We say about *pseudoholomorphic* maps and curves if the structure J is clear from the context or not specified.

We always assume that the parameterizing surface S is compact but not necessary closed, so that the boundary ∂S of S can be non-empty. In this case we assume that ∂S consists of finitely many smooth circles and that both the structure J_S and the parameterizing map u are C^1 -smooth up to boundary ∂S . The *boundary* ∂C of a pseudoholomorphic curve C parameterized by $u : S \rightarrow X$ is the set $u(\partial S)$. We say that a curve C is *non-singular* at the boundary ∂C if u is an imbedding near ∂S .

Applying Gromov's theory to the symplectic isotopy problem, one uses the following argumentation. It is well-known that the set $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ of tame almost complex structures in a symplectic manifold (X, ω) is non-empty and contractible (see e.g. [Gro], [McD-Sa-1]). In particular, any two ω -tame almost complex structures J_0 and J_1 can be connected by a homotopy (path) J_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, inside $\mathcal{J}_\omega$. Furthermore, every immersed surface Σ in a symplectic 4-fold (X, ω) with ordinary double points is J -holomorphic curve with respect to some ω -tame structure J if and only if Σ is a nodal ω -symplectic surface.

Now let (X, J_1) be a (compact) ruled complex surface with a Kähler form ω and Σ a nodal ω -symplectic closed surface in X . Find an ω -tame almost complex structure J_0 making Σ a J_0 -holomorphic curve. Find a path $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_\omega$ such that $h(0) = J_0$ and $h(1) = J_1$, so that $J_t := h(t)$ is a homotopy between J_0 and J_1 . Fix points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ on X and consider the spaces

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t \in [0, 1], C \text{ is a non-multiple irreducible } h(t)\text{-} \\ (C, t) : \text{holomorphic curve of geometric genus } g \text{ in the} \\ \text{homology class } [\Sigma] \text{ passing through } x_1, \dots, x_k \end{array} \right\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}}^\circ := \{(C, t) \in \mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}} : C \text{ is nodal}\}$$

together with the projection $\text{pr}_{h, \mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

The reason for introducing the points $x_1, \dots, x_k$ will be explained later. For a while, we may assume that $k = 0$ and there is no constrain on curves to pass through given points.

It is known that for a *generic* path $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_\omega$ the space $\mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}}$ has a natural structure of a smooth manifold of the expected dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}} = 1 + 2(c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] + g - 1 - k)$$

such that the projection $\text{pr}_{h, \mathbf{x}}$ is smooth, and $\mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}}^\circ$ is open in $\mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}}$. Let us denote by $\text{pr}_{h, \mathbf{x}}^\circ$ the restriction of $\text{pr}_{h, \mathbf{x}}$ onto $\mathcal{M}_{h, \mathbf{x}}^\circ$.

A crucial observation is that a section $s(t) = (C_t, t)$ of the projection $\text{pr}_{h, \mathbf{x}}^\circ$ with $C_0 = \Sigma$, if exists, would give a symplectic isotopy between Σ and a holomorphic curve C_1 . Furthermore, since the moduli space of nodal J_1 -holomorphic (and hence algebraic) curves of the given geometric genus g and homology class $[\Sigma]$ in X is quasi-projective, it has finitely many components. This would reduce the symplectic isotopy problem to the *Severi problem* of (X, J_1) : the description of components of the space $\mathcal{M}_{J_1}$ of nodal irreducible

curves in (X, J_1) of given homology class and genus. The case of primary interest for the symplectic isotopy problem is the one with $c_1(X) \cdot [C] > 0$. There is a certain progress in this direction after Harris' paper, see [Ran] and [G-L-Sh]. However, the answer to the Severi problem in the case $c_1(X) \cdot [C] > 0$ in general is still unknown.

Constructing of a section $s(t)$ of the projection $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ one challenges two principal difficulties. The first one is that the projection $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ considered as a real function can have local maxima. However, as it was shown in **Section 4** of [Sh], this difficulty does not occur if $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] > 0$. More precisely, it is proved that

- S1) the complement of $\mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}}^\circ$ in $\mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ has Hausdorff codimension ≥ 2 ;
- S2) if the number k of fixed points $\mathbf{x}$ is strictly less than $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma]$, then (for a generic h) every critical point of the projection $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is *saddle*.

This insures that a section of $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ over $[0, t_0]$ can be continued to a bigger interval $[0, t_1)$, $t_1 > t_0$.

The second difficulty comes from the fact that the space $\mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ is not compact and the projection $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is not proper. Gromov's compactness theorem provides that there exists a nice compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ such that

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ is a compact Hausdorff topological space;
- it has a natural stratification whose strata are smooth for a generic h ;
- $\mathbf{pr}_{h,\mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ extends to a proper projection $\overline{\mathbf{pr}}_{h,\mathbf{x}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$;
- $\overline{\mathbf{pr}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ is smooth on every stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$.

More precisely, every stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ consists of pairs (C, t) such that C is possibly *reducible and not reduced* $h(t)$ -holomorphic curve in the homology class $[\Sigma]$ passing through $\mathbf{x}$. Thus every C is a formal sum $C = \sum_i m_i C_i$ of closed irreducible $h(t)$ -holomorphic curves with positive integer multiplicities m_i , such that $[\Sigma] = \sum_i m_i [C_i]$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \text{supp}(C) = \cup_i C_i$. The strata are indexed by obvious combinatorial data: homology classes, genera, multiplicities of single components, and the distribution of the points $x_1, \dots, x_k$ on the components. The smooth structure on the strata describes deformation of components in terms of solutions of the equation (2.2). The topology on the whole compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$ is the *cycle topology* in which every curve $C = \sum_i m_i C_i$ is considered as a *closed 2-current* on X , see below for details. We refer to [Sh] for more details on the structure of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,\mathbf{x}}$.

Definition 2.4. Let C_n be a sequence of pseudoholomorphic curves in a manifold X with parameterizations $u_n : S_n \rightarrow X$. It converges to a pseudoholomorphic curve C^* with a parameterization $u^* : S^* \rightarrow X$ in the *cycle topology* if

- CT1 the boundaries ∂S_n and ∂S^* have the same number of circles; moreover, there exists diffeomorphisms $\varphi_n : \partial S^* \rightarrow \partial S_n$ such that the maps $u_n \circ \varphi_n : \partial S^* \rightarrow X$ converge to $u^*|_{\partial S^*} : \partial S^* \rightarrow X$ in the C^1 -topology;
- CT2 for any continuous 2-form ψ on X the integrals $\int_{u_n(S_n)} \psi$ converge to $\int_{u^*(S^*)} \psi$;
- CT3 curves C_n and C^* are holomorphic with respect to almost complex structures J_n and J^* on X respectively, such that J_n converge to J^* in the C^0 -topology.

In fact, in the assertions below we shall have even a little bit finer version of the cycle topology. Namely, the convergence of the structures $J_n \rightarrow J^*$ will be in the Hölder $C^{0,\alpha}$ -topology with some $0 < \alpha < 1$ except sufficiently small neighborhoods of the singular points of C^* .

Using the saddle property S2) one can show that under condition $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] > k$ there exists a continuous piecewise smooth section $s(t) = (C_t, t)$ section of $\overline{\text{pr}}_{h,x} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,x} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. One would obtain the desired symplectic isotopy if one manages to “push” such a section s into $\mathcal{M}_{h,x}^\circ$, i.e. deform s into a section $s'(t)$ with values in $\mathcal{M}_{h,x}^\circ$, or even in $\mathcal{M}_{h,x}$. To understand whether such a deformation exists one needs a description how different strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,x}$ are attached to each other. Thus we are led to the question of description of possible symplectic isotopy classes of nodal curves in a neighborhood of a given singular pseudoholomorphic curve C^* . This question is often related to as the *local symplectic isotopy problem*.

As it was noticed in [Sh], *Main Theorem* provides a sufficiently complete solution of local symplectic isotopy problem for nodal curves in a neighborhood of a *reduced* pseudoholomorphic curve C^* , i.e. in the case when every irreducible component of C^* is non-multiple. For a precise statement we need a generalization of some notions for the case of pseudoholomorphic curves.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a 4-manifold, J_0 an almost complex structure on X , and C_0 a J_0 -holomorphic curve with a parameterization $u_0 : S \rightarrow X$. Assume that C_0 has no multiple component and that the boundary ∂C is non-singular or empty.

An *equigeneric deformation* C_t of C_0 is given by a family J_t of almost complex structures on X and a family $u_t : S \rightarrow X$ of J_t -holomorphic maps such that $C_t = u_t(S)$ and such that every u_t is an imbedding near the boundary ∂S . We assume that the structures J_t and the parameterization maps u_t depend continuously on t . Every pseudoholomorphic curve C_1 which appears in this way is also called an equigeneric deformation of C_0 .

A *maximal nodal deformation* of C_0 is a *nodal* curve C_1 which is an equigeneric deformation of C_0 . As in the usual holomorphic case, every singular point p of C_0 “splits” under maximal nodal deformation into certain number of nodes. This number is called the *(virtual) nodal number* of C_0 at p and denoted usually by $\delta(C^*, p)$. The sum $\delta(C^*) := \sum \delta(C^*, p_i)$ over all singular points of C^* is the maximal number of nodes which can be obtained by a deformation of C^* which is small in the cycle topology.

A *nodal deformation* of C_0 is given by a family J_t of almost complex structures on X and a family C_t of *nodal* J_t -holomorphic curves such that

- the structures J_t depend continuously on t ;
- the curves C_t depend continuously on t with respect to the cycle topology;
- every C_t is imbedded near the boundary ∂C_t ; moreover, the boundaries ∂C_t depend continuously on t with respect to the C^1 -topology.

As in the holomorphic case, every small deformation C_1 of a *nodal* curve C_0 is nodal again; however, some nodes of C_0 disappear and some persist. We say that C_1 is obtained from a nodal curve C_0 by *smoothing the nodes* $p_1, \dots, p_l$ of C_0 if C_1 is a small nodal deformation of C_0 and the set of nodes which disappear is $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$.

The following result about the uniqueness of maximal nodal deformation and smoothing of a prescribed set of nodes is proved in [Sh].

Proposition 2.3. i) Let X be a 4-manifold and C^* be a pseudoholomorphic curve whose boundary is either empty or smooth imbedded. Then two sufficiently small maximal nodal deformations C_0 and C_1 of C^* can be connected by an isotopy C_t which is close to C^* in the cycle topology.

ii) Let X be a 4-manifold, C^* be a nodal pseudoholomorphic curve whose boundary is either empty or smooth imbedded, and $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}$ a prescribed subset of the set of nodes

of C^* . Then two sufficiently small deformations C_0 and C_1 of C^* obtained by smoothing the prescribed nodes $p_1, \dots, p_l$ can be connected by an isotopy C_t which is close to C^* in the cycle topology.

In both cases, if C^* is J^* -holomorphic and the structure J^* is tamed by a symplectic form ω , then the isotopy C_t can be chosen ω -symplectic.

2.2. Existence of symplectic isotopy between nodal surfaces. The first application of *Main Theorem* is the positive solution of the local symplectic isotopy problem for nodal pseudoholomorphic curves without multiple components.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a 4-manifold, J^* an almost complex structure on X , and C^* a J^* -holomorphic curve. Assume that C^* has no multiple component and that the boundary ∂C is smooth imbedded or empty.*

Let C be some nodal deformation of C^ and $C^\dagger$ a maximal nodal deformation of C^* , both sufficiently close to C^* in the cycle topology. Then there exists an isotopy C_t between C and a small deformation $C^\ddagger$ of $C^\dagger$ obtained by smoothing an appropriate set of nodes of $C^\dagger$. Moreover, the isotopy C_t can be realized sufficiently close to C^**

Moreover, if the structure J^ is tamed by a symplectic form ω on X , then the isotopy C_t can be made ω -symplectic.*

Proof. As it was already indicated, the assertion follows from *Main Theorem* and the techniques developed in [Sh], especially in *Subsection 6.2*. Let us outline the modifications needed to adapt the argumentation used there to our situation.

Special case. Assume that X is the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$, the structure J^* is sufficiently close to the standard structure in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and C^* has a single singularity at the origin $0 \in B$.

Preparatory construction. Performing an appropriate isotopy, one can reduce the problem to the situation when C^* is holomorphic. The construction of such an isotopy used in [Sh] applies here with minor modification.

Induction by complexity of singularities. In [Mi-Wh], Micallef and White has proved that the local behavior of pseudoholomorphic curves is essentially the same as the one of genuine holomorphic curves. In particular, one obtains well-defined notions of the topological type of the singularity and of codimension of a singularity of a given topological type. The latter is the codimension of the space of curves with the singularity of the given topological type in the whole space of curves.

A parameter version of the result of Micallef and White was proved in [Sh], *Section 3*. In particular, the actual codimension of the set of pseudoholomorphic curves with a singularity of a given topological type is the expected one, see [Sh] for details. Inductively, we may assume that the assertion of the theorem holds for all pseudoholomorphic curves whose singularities have smaller codimension than that of $C^* \subset B$.

Main construction. One tries to find an isotopy C_t between $C =: C_0$ and a holomorphic curve C_1 controlling the behavior of C_t near the boundary so that C_t 's remain close to C^* . It is proved in [Sh], *Subsection 6.2*, that there exists an isotopy C_t such that

- C_t is parameterized by $t \in [0, t^+)$ and remains close to C^* ;
- for some increasing sequence t_n converging to t^+ the sequence C_{t_n} converges to a curve C^+ ;
- the curve C^+ either is holomorphic in the usual sense or has singularities of codimension strictly smaller than that of $C^* \subset B$.

If the obtained curve is C^+ is holomorphic, then the assertion of the theorem for the special case of a single singularity follows from *Main Theorem*. Otherwise, the assertion follows by induction.

General case. One performs appropriate constructions in a neighborhood of every singular point of C^* and then extend the obtained local families of deformations of C^* to a global family C_t . Such a family C_t can be made J_t -holomorphic since there are no integrability condition on the structures J_t . $\square$

Our second application is the positive solution of the (global) symplectic isotopy problem for nodal surfaces of lower genus.

Theorem 2.5. *i) Let (X, ω) be a $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the Fubini-Study form. Then every two symplectic nodal irreducible surfaces Σ_0, Σ_1 of the same degree and the same genus $g \leq 4$ are symplectically isotopic.*

ii) Let X be $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown-up at one point, and ω a symplectic form on X . Then every two symplectic nodal irreducible surfaces Σ_0, Σ_1 of the same homology class and the same genus $g \leq 2$ are symplectically isotopic.

iii) Let X be $S^2 \times S^2$ and ω a product symplectic form on X . Then every symplectic nodal irreducible surface Σ of genus $g \leq 3$ is symplectically isotopic to an algebraic curve. In particular, there exist finitely many symplectic isotopy classes of nodal irreducible surface Σ of a given genus $g \leq 3$ in a given homology class on $S^2 \times S^2$.

The general idea of the proof is as follows. In all three cases there exists the standard complex structure J_{st} on X tamed by the symplectic form ω . This means that (X, J_{st}) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$, the blown-up $\mathbb{CP}^2$, or $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, respectively. We shall show that every symplectic nodal surface $\Sigma \subset X$ satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem is symplectically isotopic to a J_{st} -holomorphic curve. The uniqueness of the symplectic isotopy class in the case of the (blown-up) $\mathbb{CP}^2$ will follow then from the irreducibility of the Severi variety $V_g(X, [\Sigma])$ of irreducible nodal J_{st} -holomorphic curves in X of genus g in the homology class $[\Sigma]$. This result is proved by Harris [Ha] for $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and by Ziv Ran [Ran] for $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown-up at one point.

Now let $\Sigma \subset X$ be a symplectic nodal surface satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. In particular, Σ is irreducible and has genus g at most 4, 3, or 2 according to X . To find a symplectic isotopy between Σ and a J_{st} -holomorphic curve we repeat the construction which was used in [Sh], *Subsection 6.3*, and exposed in *Subsection 2.1*.

First we establish possible values of the “anti-canonical degree” $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma]$ for nodal symplectic surfaces satisfying the hypotheses of *Theorem 2.5*.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Σ be a nodal symplectic surface in a symplectic 4-fold (X, ω) . Then “anti-canonical degree” $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma]$ is at least 1 if X is the blown-up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and Σ is J -holomorphic for some structure J which can be included in a generic 1-parameter family of structures J_t ; at least 2 if X is $S^2 \times S^2$; and at least 3 if X is $\mathbb{CP}^2$.*

Moreover, if the equality holds then, according to the case, Σ is

1. *an exceptional sphere, if X is the blown-up $\mathbb{CP}^2$;*
2. *a “horizontal” or “vertical” line representing the homology class $[S^2 \times \text{pt}]$ or $[\text{pt} \times S^2]$, respectively, if X is $S^2 \times S^2$;*
3. *a “line” i.e. a sphere of degree 1, if X is $\mathbb{CP}^2$.*

Remark. The same assertion holds in the case when Σ is an algebraic curve in the (blown-up) $\mathbb{CP}^2$ or $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, respectively. This classical result follows also from the proof of the lemma.

Proof. *Case $X = \mathbb{CP}^2$.* In this case (X, ω) is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ equipped with some positive multiple of the Fubini-Study form ω_{FS} . The group $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ and every ω -symplectic nodal surface must have positive degree d . Then $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 3d$. The genus formula for symplectic nodal surfaces insures that Σ is an imbedded sphere in the case $d = 1$.

Case X is the $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown-up at one point. We use basic properties of symplectic blown-up in dimension 4 and symplectic exceptional spheres, see e.g. [McD-3]. Assume that J is a generic ω -tame almost complex structure on X and $\Sigma \subset X$ an irreducible nodal J -holomorphic curve. Furthermore, we assume that Σ is not an exceptional sphere since otherwise $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 1$. Then that there exists a J -holomorphic exceptional sphere $E \subset X$. It follows from the genericity of J that E meets Σ only at smooth points and transversally. Perturbing J , we can make J integrable near E . Denote $d_E := [\Sigma] \cdot [E]$. Then d_E is a non-negative integer. Contracting E we obtain

- a compact 4-manifold X' diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$;
- a point p_E which appears instead of the exceptional sphere E , such that $X' \setminus \{p_E\}$ is canonically identified with $X \setminus E$;
- the symplectic form ω' on X' whose restriction on $X' \setminus \{p_E\}$ coincides with $\omega|_{X \setminus E}$;
- an ω' -tame almost complex structure J' on X' which is integrable near p_E ;
- a J' -holomorphic curve Σ' in X' such that $\Sigma' \setminus \{p_E\}$ coincides with $\Sigma \setminus E$ and such that Σ' has d_E non-singular transversal branches at p_E .

Note that Σ' is irreducible since Σ is assumed to be so. Denote by d the degree of Σ' in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Then the homology class of Σ is $[\Sigma] = dL - d_E E$ where L denotes a “line in X ”, i.e. the lift to X of a generic J' -holomorphic line in X' . In particular, $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 3d - d_E$.

We assert that $d_E \leq d$ and the equality holds if and only if $d_E = d = 1$. Indeed, perturbing Σ' at p_E we obtain a nodal symplectic surface with $\frac{d_E(d_E-1)}{2}$ new nodes instead the singularity of Σ' at p_E . The genus formula for this perturbation reads

$$g(\Sigma) = g(\Sigma') = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \delta(\Sigma) - \frac{d_E(d_E-1)}{2}$$

where $\delta(\Sigma)$ is the number of nodes of Σ . This implies the desired inequality $d_E \leq d$ and shows that the equality holds in the unique case $d_E = d = 1$. This case corresponds to the J' -holomorphic line in X' passing through p_E .

Now, the inequality $d_E \leq d$ together with the formula $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 3d - d_E$ yield the desired inequality $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] \geq 2$.

Remark. Observe that as the consequence of the argumentation above we obtain that the equality $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 2$ holds in the unique case when Σ is an imbedded sphere with trivial normal bundle meeting the exceptional sphere E at a single point. This means that Σ is a fiber of a *J -holomorphic ruling on X* , see [McD-2] and [McD-Sa-2] for details.

Case $X = S^2 \times S^2$. In this case $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. We use the “almost complex” geometry of ruled symplectic 4-manifold, see [McD-2] and [McD-Sa-2] for details. It provides the existence of an ω -tame almost complex structure J on X with the following properties:

- $\Sigma \subset X$ is a J -holomorphic curve;

- there exist J -holomorphic curves L_h and L_v which represent the “horizontal” and “vertical” homology classes $[S^2 \times \text{pt}]$ and $[\text{pt} \times S^2]$, respectively.

It follows that $[\Sigma] = a[L_h] + b[L_v]$ with *non-negative* integers $a = [\Sigma] \cdot [L_v]$ and $b = [\Sigma] \cdot [L_h]$, and that $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] = 2a + 2b$. Thus $c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] \geq 2$, and the equality holds if and only if Σ is either “horizontal” or “vertical” line as above. $\square$

Turn back to the proof of *Theorem 2.5*. Recall that J_{st} denotes an ω -tame integrable structure such that (X, J_{st}) is isomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ or $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ or the blown-up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ according to the case we have. Find an ω -tame almost complex structure J_0 making Σ a J_0 -holomorphic curve, denoted by C_0 . Set $k := c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma] - 1$. Fix k distinct points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ on C_0 . Perturbing C_0 and the points, we may assume that $x_1, \dots, x_k$ are in general position with respect to the structure J_{st} in the following sense. For any closed surface S , not necessary connected, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{J_{\text{st}}, \mathbf{x}}(S, X, [\Sigma])$ of J_{st} -holomorphic (and hence *algebraic*) curves of the homology class $[\Sigma]$ with normalization S passing through $\mathbf{x}$ is either empty or a complex space of the expected dimension.

Fix a generic path $h(t)$ of ω -tame almost complex structures $J_t := h(t)$ connecting J_0 with $J_{\text{st}} = J_1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that J_t depend C^ℓ -smoothly on $x \in X$ and t for some $\ell \gg 0$. Our hope is to find an isotopy C_t between $\Sigma = C_0$ and a J_1 -holomorphic curve which consists of J_t -holomorphic curves. Trying to construct such a family C_t for maximal possible interval we obtain

Proposition 2.7. *There exists a $t^+ \in (0, 1]$ which is maximal with respect to the following condition:*

For any $t < t^+$ there exists a J_t -holomorphic curve C_t such that

- i) C_t passes through the fixed points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$;
- ii) C_t is non-multiple and irreducible;
- iii) the curve C_0 is symplectically isotopic to the curve obtained from some maximal nodal deformation C'_t of C_t by smoothing an appropriate set of nodes of C'_t .

Let t_n be an increasing sequence converging to t^+ . Fix J_{t_n} -holomorphic curves C_n with these properties. Property iii) implies that the C_n have the same homology class as C_0 . Going to a subsequence we may assume that they converge to a J_{t^+} -holomorphic curve C^+ in the cycle topology.

Proposition 2.8. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, assume that C^+ has multiple components. Then C^+ has two irreducible components, C' of multiplicity 1 and L of multiplicity 2 such that, according to the case,*

1. C' has genus 2 and L is an exceptional line, if X is the blown-up $\mathbb{CP}^2$;
2. C' has genus 3 and L is a horizontal or vertical line, if X is $S^2 \times S^2$;
3. C' has genus 4 and L is a line, if X is $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Moreover, the curve $L \cup C'$ is nodal and the marked points $\mathbf{x}$ are disjoint from the nodes of $L \cup C'$.

The latter condition means that $L \cup C'$ is in generic position and there are no further degeneration or incidences than those stipulated by the hypotheses of the proposition.

Proof. Let $C^+ = \sum m_i C_i^+$ be the decomposition of C^+ into irreducible components with multiplicities m_i . Set $\mu := c_1(X) \cdot [C^+] = c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma]$ and $\mu_i := c_1(X) \cdot [C_i^+]$. Let g_i be the (geometric) genus of C_i and k_i the number of the marked points $\mathbf{x}$ lying on C_i . It follows

then that $k_i \leq \mu_i + g_i - 1$. The reason is that otherwise the expected dimension of the space of irreducible curves of genus g_i in the homology class $[C_i]$ passing through k_i points is negative; hence the existence of such a constellation would contradict the condition of the generality of $h(t)$, see e.g. **Subsection 2.4** of [Sh]. Besides, we have the obvious (in)equalities $\mu = \sum \mu_i$, $\sum k_i \geq k = \mu - 1$ and $g := g(\Sigma) \leq \sum m_i g_i$. Taking into account the inequality $\mu_i \geq 3, 2$, or 1 , according to the cases of **Lemma 2.6**, and distinguishing the case of equality, we see that multiple components are possible only in the cases described in the proposition.

The genericity properties of C' follows from the condition of the genericity of $\mathbf{x}$ and $h(t)$. Namely, similarly to the usual holomorphic (and hence algebraic) case, every additional incidence or degeneration condition, such as appearance of a cusp or a triple point, makes the expected dimension of the corresponding constellation negative, which would again contradict the genericity, see [Sh]. $\square$

Let us distinguish the cases according to the structure of the curve C^+ .

Case 1. C^+ is irreducible. We claim that $t^+ = 1$ in this case. Assuming the contrary it is sufficient to show that for some $t^{++} > t^+$ there exists a $J_{t^{++}}$ -holomorphic curve C^{++} with the properties given in **Proposition 2.7**. To do this we fix some parameterization $u^+ : S^+ \rightarrow C^+ \subset X$ and consider the relative moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{h,\mathbf{x}}(S^+, X)$ of $J_t = h(t)$ -holomorphic curves which are parameterized by S^+ , pass through $\mathbf{x}$ and lie in the homology class $[\Sigma]$. This space is non-empty because it contains C^+ . It follows from the results of [Sh], especially **Subsection 4.5**, that for some $t^{++} > t^+$ such a curve C^{++} does exist.

Now, since $t^+ = 1$, the structure J_{t^+} is J_{st} , the standard one, and C^+ is an irreducible algebraic curve in (X, J_{st}) . Let g^+ be the geometric genus of C^+ . It follows now from **Proposition 2.1** of [Ha] that every component of the variety $V(|C^+|, g^+)$ of irreducible curves of geometric genus g in $|C^+|$ is of expected dimension $c_1(X) \cdot [C^+] + g^+ - 1$ and contains a nodal curve. Consequently, C^+ can be included in a 1-dimensional family $\{C_\lambda\}$ whose generic member C_λ is an irreducible nodal curve of the same genus as C^+ . Observe that such C_λ is a maximal nodal deformation of C^+ . Then, smoothing an appropriate set of nodes of C_λ , we obtain the desired algebraic curve which is symplectically isotopic to $C_0 = \Sigma$ by **Theorem 2.4**. This yields the proof of **Theorem 2.5** for the special **Case 1** of irreducible C^+ . The existence of the desired smoothing is provided by

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a non-singular complex projective surface and $C \subset X$ a nodal curve without multiple components such that $c_1(X) \cdot C_i$ is positive for every irreducible component C_i of C . Then every prescribed set of nodes of C can be smoothed by some deformation of C .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{C}$ be the normalization of C , $u : \tilde{C} \rightarrow X$ the induced immersion, $\mathcal{I}_C$ the defining ideal of $C \subset X$ and $\mathcal{N}_C := (\mathcal{I}_C / \mathcal{I}_C^2)^*$ the normal sheaf of C . Then there exists a natural projection map $p : \mathcal{O}_X(TX) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_C$ with the following properties:

- the kernel $\text{Ker}(p)$ is naturally isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(TC)$ of sections of the tangent bundle of (the normalization of) C ;
- the image $\text{Im}(p)$ is naturally isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C(TX/du_*(TC))$ of sections of the normal bundle $N_C := TX/du_*(TC)$;
- the cokernel $\mathcal{N}_C/\text{Im}(p)$ is isomorphic to the sum $\sum_i \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ over all nodal points x_i of C .

More precisely, we construct appropriate sheaves on the normalization $\tilde{C}$ and then push them forward onto C or X by means of u .

Now let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be some set of nodes of C . Denote by $\mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}}$ the sheaf on C which coincides with $\mathcal{N}_C$ at each smooth point of C and each of the nodes $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$, and with the image $\text{Im}(p) = \mathcal{O}_C(N_C)$ at each remaining node. The deformation theory (see e.g. [Pal-1] and [Pal-2]) insures that

- the space of deformations of C which smooth the prescribed nodes $\mathbf{x}$ is given by a Kuranishi model $\Phi : B \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}})$ for some holomorphic map Φ defined in some ball B in $\mathbf{H}^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}})$;
- the natural projection $\mathbf{H}^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(C, \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{x_i})$ describes the smoothing of nodes. In particular, a deformation with the tangent vector $v \in \mathbf{H}^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}})$ smoothes the node x_i if and only if the projection of v in $\mathbf{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_{x_i})$ does not vanish.

Let C_i be an irreducible component of C , g_i its geometric genus, and $N_{C_i} := TX/du_*(TC_i)$ the corresponding normal bundle. Then $c_1(N_{C_i}) = c_1(X) \cdot [C_i] + (2g_i - 2) > 2g_i - 2$ by the hypothesis of the lemma. Consequently, $\mathbf{H}^1(C_i, \mathcal{O}(N_{C_i})) = 0$ for each single normal bundle. Thus the obstruction group $\mathbf{H}^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C,\mathbf{x}})$ vanishes and every prescribed set of nodes $\mathbf{x}$ can be smoothed. $\square$

Case 2. C^+ is reducible but without multiple components. Let C_i^+ be the irreducible components of C^+ . Then the (bi)degree of each C_i^+ is strictly less than the (bi)degree of C^+ . Applying induction, we may assume that the assertion of *Theorem 2.5* holds for every C_i^+ . Moreover, we may also suppose that for $t \in [t^+, 1]$ there exist families $\{C_{i,t}^+\}$ of J_t -holomorphic curves with the following properties:

- $C_{i,t^+}^+ = C_i^+$, i.e. every family $\{C_{i,t}^+\}$ starts from C_i^+ at t^+ ;
- for every $t \in [t^+, 1]$ the curve $C_t^+ := \cup_i C_{i,t}^+$ is nodal;
- smoothing appropriate set of nodes on $C_t^+ = \cup_i C_{i,t}^+$ we obtain a curve which is symplectically isotopic to $C_0 = \Sigma$.

For the final value $t = 1$, the existence of *nodal* curve $C_1^+ = \cup_i C_{i,1}^+$ with the desired properties follows from *Proposition 2.1* of [Ha].

In particular, smoothing appropriate set of nodes on the “final” curve $C_1^+ = \cup_i C_{i,1}^+$ gives the desired algebraic curve which is symplectically isotopic to $C_0 = \Sigma$.

It remains to consider

Case 3. C^+ has multiple components. Recall that C^+ was obtained as the limit of a sequence of J_{t_n} -holomorphic curves C_{t_n} . To simplify notation, we write J^+ instead of J_{t^+} , C_n instead of C_{t_n} , and J_n instead of J_{t_n} .

Notice that the limit $C_n \rightarrow C^+$ is understood in the cycle topology. However, we obtain more information about the behavior of C_n near C^+ if we take the limit in the *stable map topology* instead of the cycle one.

For the definition of the stable map topology and related notions in full generality we refer to *Section 5* of [Sh] and [Iv-Sh], as also to [Ha-Mo] and [Fu-Pa] for the algebraic setting. In our setting, the limit object is given by an abstract closed nodal curve $\hat{C}^+$ equipped with J^+ -holomorphic map $u^+ : \hat{C}^+ \rightarrow X$ which have the following properties:

- St1)* Let $\{\hat{C}_\lambda\}$ be any semi-universal family of deformations of $\hat{C}^+$ such that $\hat{C}_{\lambda^+}$ is the curve points $\hat{C}^+$ itself. Then there exists a sequence of parameters λ_n converging to

λ^+ such that, after going to a subsequence, $\widehat{C}_{\lambda_n}$ is isomorphic to the normalization $\widetilde{C}_n$ of C_n .

St2) the image $u^+(\widehat{C}^+)$, counted with multiplicities, is C^+ .

St3) If $\widehat{C}_i^+$ is a rational irreducible component of $\widehat{C}^+$ and the number of nodal points on $\widehat{C}_i^+$, counted with multiplicities, is less than 3, then u^+ is non-constant on $\widehat{C}_i^+$.

The first condition means that there we can imbed $\widehat{C}^+$ and the normalizations $\widetilde{C}_n$ in $X \times \mathbb{CP}^N$, pseudoholomorphic with respect to the structures $J^+ \times J_{\mathbb{CP}^N}$ and $J_n \times J_{\mathbb{CP}^N}$ respectively, so that the images $\widetilde{C}_n \subset X \times \mathbb{CP}^N$ will converge to $\widehat{C}^+ \subset X \times \mathbb{CP}^N$ in the cycle topology, and so that the projection of these images onto X gives the sequence C_n converging to C^+ . In particular, the map u^+ can be obtained as the projection from $\widehat{C}^+ \subset X \times \mathbb{CP}^N$ onto $C^+ \subset X$, and the second condition follows. The last condition excludes the appearance of redundant components and insures the uniqueness of the limit in the stable map topology. Observe also that by the second condition the *arithmetic* genus of $\widehat{C}^+$ is the *geometric* genus of C_n .

The crucial point in treating of **Case 3** is study of the deformation problem of the pair $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ in the stable map topology. We start with establishing the possibilities for the structure of $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$. Obviously, we must have a component $\widehat{C}'$ mapped by u^+ onto the component C' of C^+ as in **Proposition 2.8**. Denote by $\widehat{C}''$ the remaining part of $\widehat{C}^+$.

Lemma 2.10. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.8,*

i) $\widehat{C}'$ is the normalization of C' and $u^+ : \widehat{C}' \rightarrow C'$ is the normalization map;

ii) there are the following possibilities for the remaining part $\widehat{C}''$:

- (A) $\widehat{C}''$ consists of two rational components $\widehat{C}_1''$ and $\widehat{C}_2''$, each mapped by u^+ isomorphically onto the line L and attached to $\widehat{C}'$ at points $z_1^\times, z_2^\times \in \widehat{C}'$, respectively; the images $u^+(z_1^\times)$ and $u^+(z_2^\times)$ are two distinct intersection points of C' and L .
- (B1) $\widehat{C}''$ is rational and attached to $\widehat{C}'$ at a point $z_1^\times$ whose image $u^+(z_1^\times)$ is an intersection point of C' and L ; the map $u^+ : \widehat{C}'' \rightarrow X$ is a too shifted covering of $L \subset X$ branched over two distinct points $y_1, y_2 \in L$.
- (B2) $\widehat{C}''$ consists of two rational components $\widehat{C}_1''$ and $\widehat{C}_2''$, each mapped by u^+ isomorphically onto the line L ; $\widehat{C}_1''$ is attached to $\widehat{C}'$ at a point $z_1^\times \in \widehat{C}'$ and $\widehat{C}_2''$ to $\widehat{C}_1''$ at a point $z_2^\times \in \widehat{C}_1''$; the image $u^+(z_1^\times)$ is an intersection point of C' and L ; the image $u^+(z_2^\times)$ lies on L apart from $u^+(z_1^\times)$.
- (B3) $\widehat{C}''$ consists of three rational components $\widehat{C}_0''$, $\widehat{C}_1''$, and $\widehat{C}_2''$; $\widehat{C}_0''$ is attached to $\widehat{C}'$ at a point $z_0^\times \in \widehat{C}'$; $\widehat{C}_1''$ and $\widehat{C}_2''$ are attached to $\widehat{C}_0''$ at two distinct points $z_1^\times, z_2^\times \in \widehat{C}_0''$, which are distinct also from $z_0^\times$; u^+ maps $\widehat{C}_1''$ and $\widehat{C}_2''$ isomorphically onto L and $\widehat{C}_0''$ constantly into the point $u^+(z_0^\times)$ which is an intersection point of C' and L .

iii) If X is the blown up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and L is an exceptional line, then only case (A) is possible.

Proof. The first assertion follows by comparing the geometric genera of C' and $\widehat{C}'$. The same argument implies that the remaining part $\widehat{C}''$ must consist of trees of rational curves. Thus L can be covered either by one or by two distinct rational curves. Elementary combinatorics shows that the cases (A) and (B1–B3) are the only possibilities for such trees of rational curves.

Now assume that X is the blown up $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and the sequence C_n converges to one of the the constellations (B1–B3). Then we can choose an appropriate piece C_n° of each C_n such that

C_n° are connected and the limit of C_n° in the cycle topology consists of the exceptional line L with multiplicity 2 and a disc D transversal to L . The intersection index of C_n° with L must be $[C_n^\circ] \cdot [L] = [D] \cdot [L] + 2 \cdot [L]^2 = -1$. Now observe that C_n° are holomorphic with respect to structures J_n converging to J^+ such that there exists a sequence of J_n -holomorphic exceptional lines L_n which converges to the line L . Consequently, $[C_n^\circ] \cdot [L] = [C_n^\circ] \cdot [L_n] \geq 0$. With this contradiction the proof is finished. $\square$

Remark. Observe that the constellations (A) and (B3) are rigid i.e. determined by the curve C^+ and the combinatorics. To the contrary, we obtain moduli in the constellations (B1) and (B2), namely, positions of the branching points y_1 and y_2 in the second constellation, and position of the point $u^+(z_2^\times)$ in the third one. The constellation (B1) degenerates in (B2) as y_1 and y_2 collapse apart from $u^+(z_1^\times)$, and in (B3) as y_1 and y_2 collapse with $u^+(z_1^\times)$. These combinatorial data and varying parameters is the additional information we obtain taking the limit in the stable map topology instead of the cycle one.

Trying to deform $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ in the stable map topology into an irreducible curve we come to the *gluing problem* for pseudoholomorphic curves. Let us resume the results of *Subsection 5.3* of [Sh] on this topic which we shall use.

Definition 2.6. A *pants* P is a complex curve which can be obtained from $\mathbb{CP}^1$ by removing 3 disjoint discs with smooth boundary. *Boundary annuli* in a pants P are disjoint annuli $A_1, A_2, A_3 \subset P$ each adjacent to some boundary circle of P

The *standard smoothing of a node* is the family

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \Delta^2 : z_1 \cdot z_2 = \lambda\}$$

with the parameter λ varying in a disc $\Delta(\varepsilon) := \{|\lambda| < \varepsilon\}$ of radius $\varepsilon < 1$. It deforms the *standard node* $\mathcal{A}_0$, consisting of two discs Δ_1 and Δ_2 with the canonical coordinates z_1 and z_2 respectively, into annuli $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$, $\lambda \neq 0$. The *boundary annuli* $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ are given by

$$A_1 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda : 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1\} \quad A_2 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda : 1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1\}$$

with $\delta < \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$. We consider A_1 and A_2 with the canonical coordinates z_1 and z_2 , respectively, as a “constant” part inside deforming curves $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$.

For an almost complex manifold (X, J) , we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, X, J)$ the space of J -holomorphic maps $u : \mathcal{A}_\lambda \rightarrow X$ which are C^1 -smooth up to boundary. In the case of $\mathcal{A}_0$ such a map $u : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow X$ is given by its components $u_1 : \Delta_1 \rightarrow X$ and $u_2 : \Delta_2 \rightarrow X$, both J -holomorphic, such that $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$. For any compact (nodal) curve C with the smooth boundary ∂C , possibly empty, the space $\mathcal{P}(C, X, J)$ is defined in a similar way.

Proposition 2.11. i) For any compact (nodal) curve C without closed components the space $\mathcal{P}(C, X, J)$ has a natural structure of a Banach manifold.

ii) For any given structure J^* , a compact (nodal) curve C without closed components, and a map $u^* \in \mathcal{P}(C, X, J^*)$ there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(C, X, J^*)$ of u^* and a map $G = G(u, J) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C, X, J)$ depending smoothly on $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and on a structure J sufficiently C^1 -close to J^* such that, for J fixed, the map $G_J : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C, X, J)$ is an open smooth imbedding.

iii) The restriction maps $R_\lambda : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, X, J) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A_1, X, J) \times \mathcal{P}(A_2, X, J)$ given by $R_\lambda(u) := (u|_{A_1}, u|_{A_2})$ are smooth closed imbeddings.

iv) For any given J^* and $u^* \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_0, X, J^*)$ there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_0, X, J^*)$ of u^* and a map $G = G(\lambda, u, J) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, X, J)$ defined for $u \in \mathcal{U}$, λ sufficiently close to 0, and for structures J sufficiently C^1 -close to J^* , such that:

- G is continuous in λ and C^1 -smooth in u and J ;
- for λ and J fixed, the map $G : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, X, J)$ is an open C^1 -smooth imbedding.

Moreover, in cases iii) and iv) the C^1 -smoothness is uniform in (λ, u, J) .

The meaning of the last part of the proposition is that we can “glue” the components $u_{1,2}^*$ of any given pseudoholomorphic map $u^* : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow X$ into a pseudoholomorphic map $u : \mathcal{A}_\lambda \rightarrow X$, also varying the almost complex structure. To apply the proposition in our situation we must decompose $\widehat{C}^+$ into appropriate pieces. For the proof of the following assertion we refer to [Iv-Sh].

Proposition 2.12. *There exist a covering $\{V_a\}$ of $\widehat{C}^+$ and families of deformations V_{a,λ_a} of some pieces V_a with the following properties:*

- Every piece V_a is isomorphic to the standard node $\mathcal{A}_0$, or the disc Δ , or an annulus $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$, or a pants.
- Each intersection $V_a \cap V_b$, if non-empty, is an annulus A_{ab} which is a boundary annulus for both V_a and V_b .
- The pieces included in the deformation families are all nodal pieces $V_a \cong \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_a^+ = 0}$ and some annular pieces $V_a \cong \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_a^+ \neq 0}$. The deformation family for such a piece $V_a \cong \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_a^+}$ is of the form $V_{a,\lambda_a} = \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_a}$ with λ_a varying in a small neighborhood of λ_a^+ .
- Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ be the system of all λ_a ’s which appear as the parameter of the families V_{a,λ_a} ’s, and let $\widehat{C}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the curve obtained by replacing each varying piece V_a by the piece V_{a,λ_a} . Then $\{\widehat{C}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\}$ is a semi-universal family of deformations of $\widehat{C}^+$.

We divide the obtained parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ into two groups: $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'' = (\lambda_1'', \dots, \lambda_{l''}'')$ each describing the smoothing of the corresponding node on $\widehat{C}^+$, and the remaining $\boldsymbol{\lambda}' = (\lambda_1', \dots, \lambda_{l'}')$, $l' + l'' = l$. Thus we obtain $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'' = (\lambda_1'', \lambda_2'')$ in the cases (1) and (3) of **Lemma 2.10**, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'' = (\lambda_1'')$ in the case (2), and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'' = (\lambda_0'', \lambda_1'', \lambda_2'')$ in the case (4). Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^+ = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}'^+, \boldsymbol{\lambda}''^+)$ be the set of parameters corresponding to the curve $\widehat{C}^+$ so that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}''^+ = 0$.

Using the covering $\{V_a\}$ we describe the problem of deformation of $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ in terms of compatibility of deformations of single pieces V_a and the restrictions of u^+ onto V_a ’s. Namely, let us fix a small C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_J$ of J^+ , small neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}''}$ of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'^+$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}''^+$ in the spaces of parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}''$ respectively, and, for each V_a , a small neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_a$ of the restriction $u_a^+ := u^+|_{V_a}$ in the space $\mathcal{P}(V_a, X, J^+)$. Consider the map

$$\mathcal{G} : \left(\prod_a \mathcal{U}_a \right) \times \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \times \mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}''} \times \mathcal{U}_J \longrightarrow \prod_{a \neq b} \mathcal{P}(A_{ab}, X, J),$$

where the product $\prod_{a \neq b} \mathcal{P}(A_{ab}, X, J)$ is taken over all pairs (a, b) for which the intersection $V_a \cap V_b$ is a non-empty annulus A_{ab} . For such a pair (a, b) , the component $\mathcal{G}_{ab}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows. We take the a -th component u_a of $\mathbf{u} \in \prod_a \mathcal{U}_a$, compute its deformation $u'_a := G(u_a, \lambda_a, J)$ or $u'_a := G(u_a, J)$ according to the type of V_a , the obtained map u'_a lies in $\mathcal{P}(V_{a,\lambda_a}, J)$ or $\mathcal{P}(V_a, J)$ respectively, and then restrict u'_a onto A_{ab} .

Observe that every annulus A_{ab} appears twice, as $V_a \cap V_b$ and as $V_b \cap V_a$, but the components $\mathcal{G}_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{ba}$ do not coincide in general. Moreover, the set of conditions

$$\mathcal{G}_{ab}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, J) = \mathcal{G}_{ba}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, J) \quad \text{for each pair } (a, b)$$

is the compatibility condition on the pieces $G(u_a, \lambda_a, J)$ or $G(u_a, J)$ to be the restrictions on V_a of a well-define a J -holomorphic map $u : \widehat{C}_\lambda \rightarrow X$. Thus, denoting by $\mathcal{D}_J \subset \prod_{a \neq b} \mathcal{P}(A_{ab}, X, J)$ the “diagonal set” given by the set of conditions $u_{ab} = u_{ba}$, we obtain the set-theoretic equality

$$\mathcal{P}(\widehat{C}_\lambda, X, J) = \mathcal{G}(\cdot, \lambda, J)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_J),$$

which holds locally near $(\widehat{C}^+, J^+)$. Observe also that $\mathcal{G}$ is only continuous in λ'' but still C^1 -smooth in the remaining variables $\mathbf{u}$, λ' , and J .

Lemma 2.13. *Let λ^* , J^* , and $u^* \in \mathcal{P}(\widehat{C}_{\lambda^*}, X, J^*)$ be close to λ^+ , J^+ , and u^+ respectively. Set $u_a^* := u^*|_{V_{a, \lambda_a^*}}$ and $\mathbf{u}^* := (u_a^*) \in \prod_a \mathcal{U}_a$.*

Then the map $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{u}, \lambda', \lambda'', J^)$, with the arguments $\mathbf{u}$ and λ' varying and λ''^* and J^* fixed, is transversal to the submanifold $\mathcal{D}_{J^*}$ at the point $(\mathbf{u}^*, \lambda^*, J^*)$.*

Proof. The transversality means that the image of differential of the map $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda'', J^*)$ at the point $(\mathbf{u}^*, \lambda^*, J^*)$ is the whole normal space to $\mathcal{D}_{J^*} \subset \prod_{a \neq b} \mathcal{P}(A_{ab}, X, J^*)$ at $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{u}^*, \lambda^*, J^*)$. An equivalent assertion is that the deformation problem described by $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda'', J^*)$ is unobstructed because the cokernel of the differential in question in the normal space to $\mathcal{D}_{J^*}$ is the obstruction space to the deformation problem.

We may assume that $\lambda^* = \lambda^+$, $\widehat{C}^* = \widehat{C}^+$, $J^* = J^+$, and $u^* = u^+$. The general case follows from this special one by the following argument. A surjective linear *Fredholm* map between Banach spaces remains surjective after a small perturbation. We compute the deformation problem in two steps as follows: first, we consider the deformation problems for each component $\widehat{C}'$ and $\widehat{C}_i''$ of $\widehat{C}^+$, and then impose the conditions of “attaching”.

Step 1. Observe that the parameters λ' parameterize a complete family of deformations of C' . This follows from the fact that $\widehat{C}^+$ differs from C' by trees of rational curves. Consequently, the map $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda'', J^+)$ describes the problem of deformation of C' as a *parameterized* J^+ -holomorphic curve of the given geometric genus $g = g(C')$. Observe also that the curve C' is immersed and $c_1(X) \cdot [C'] > 0$. These two conditions imply that the deformation problem is unobstructed, see e.g. [H-L-S] or [Sh], **Section 2**. The same argument applies for the components $\widehat{C}_i''$.

Step 2. After solving the problems of the first step, we obtain local deformations families of J^+ -holomorphic maps: $u'_{s'} : S \rightarrow X$, defined on a closed real surface S of genus $g = g(C')$, and $u''_{i, s_i} : S^2 \rightarrow X$, one for each component $\widehat{C}'$ and $\widehat{C}_i''$, respectively. To fit together in a map of a *connected* curve $\widehat{C}_{\lambda', \lambda''^+}$, the maps $u'_{s'}$ and u''_{i, s_i} must satisfy certain “attaching conditions” defined as follows. Each nodal point $z_i^\times$ on $\widehat{C}_{\lambda', \lambda''^+}$ has two pre-images on the components $\widehat{C}'_{\lambda'}$ and $\widehat{C}_i''$, say z_i^+ and z_i^- , and the images of these points in X must coincide. The transversality of this “attaching problem” is equivalent to the original transversality. For this purpose possibility to move arbitrarily the image of one of the points z_i^+ and z_i^- is sufficient. The latter condition is equivalent to the transversality of the problems of deformations of the curves C' and $\widehat{C}_i''$ constrained by the condition of passing through given points.

We contend that this new deformation problem is unobstructed. Let us consider the special case when the curve $\widehat{C}^+$ is as in the case (A) of **Lemma 2.10** and L is an exceptional line. In this case the component C' of C^+ meets L at two points at least. This implies that $c_1(X) \cdot [C'] \geq 3$ since otherwise C' would meet L at a single point, see the remark in

the proof of *Lemma 2.6*. Now, since C' is immersed and $c_1(X) \cdot [C']$ is strictly larger than the number $k = 2$ of the constraining points, the problem of deformation of C' constrained at $k = 2$ points is unobstructed. This yields the desired transversality for the special case we consider.

The other cases can be treated similarly. $\square$

As a corollary of *Lemma 2.13* we obtain the local symplectic isotopy in a neighborhood of C^+ .

Corollary 2.14. *i) Let $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ be as in the case (A) of Lemma 2.10 and $(\widehat{C}_0, u_0)$, $(\widehat{C}_1, u_1)$ two small deformations of $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ in the stable map topology, such that $C_i := u_i(\widehat{C}_i)$ are irreducible and nodal. Then there exists a symplectic isotopy C_t between C_0 and C_1 close to C^+ in the cycle topology.*

ii) Let $(\widehat{C}_0^+, u_0^+)$ and $(\widehat{C}_1^+, u_1^+)$ be as in the cases (B1–3) of Lemma 2.10 and $(\widehat{C}_0, u_0)$, $(\widehat{C}_1, u_1)$ two small deformations of $(\widehat{C}_i^+, u_i^+)$ in the stable topology, $i = 0, 1$ respectively. Assume that $C_i := u_i(\widehat{C}_i)$ are irreducible and nodal. Then there exists a symplectic isotopy C_t between C_0 and C_1 close to C^+ in the cycle topology.

Observe that the almost complex structure can also vary.

Proof. Let J^* be a structure close to J^+ . Set $\mathcal{M}_{J^*} := \cup_{\lambda''} \mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda'', J^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{J^*})$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{J^*}^{\text{sing}}$ be the set of parameters $(u, \lambda, J^*) \in \mathcal{M}_{J^*}$ where $u(\widehat{C}_\lambda)$ is not nodal and irreducible. It follows from *Lemma 2.13* that $\mathcal{M}_{J^*}$ is a *topological* manifold in a neighborhood of (u^+, λ^+, J^+) and that $\mathcal{M}_{J^*}^{\text{sing}}$ has Hausdorff codimension ≥ 2 in $\mathcal{M}_{J^*}$. This fact and *Lemma 2.13* imply part i) of the corollary.

For part ii) we use an additional possibility to connect $(\widehat{C}_0^+, u_0^+)$ and $(\widehat{C}_1^+, u_1^+)$ by a path $(\widehat{C}_t^+, u_t^+)$ continuous in the stable map topology such that $u_t^+(\widehat{C}_t^+)$ is constantly C^+ . $\square$

Now we are ready to finish

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Recall that it remains to consider the following situation: There exists a sequence C_n of pseudoholomorphic nodal curves such that each C_n is symplectically isotopic to Σ and such that there exists the limit $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ of C_n in the stable map topology. Furthermore, the possibilities for the structure of $(\widehat{C}^+, u^+)$ are given by *Lemma 2.10*. *Lemma 2.13* and *Corollary 2.14* insure the possibility of restoration of the symplectic isotopy class of Σ by $C^+ = u^+(\widehat{C}^+)$ and the combinatorial data.

The scheme of the proof is the same as before: First, we show that there exists a symplectic isotopy C_t^+ between $C_0^+ := C^+$ and a holomorphic curve C_1^+ , and then deform C_1^+ into a holomorphic nodal curve in the symplectic isotopy class of Σ .

Proving the existence of the desired symplectic isotopy C_t^+ we apply the induction in the “anti-canonical degree”. Namely, by *Lemma 2.6* we have $c_1(X) \cdot [C'] < c_1(X) \cdot [\Sigma]$ for the component C' . Thus there exists a symplectic isotopy C_t' between $C' = C_0'$ and a holomorphic curve C_1' . The existence of a similar symplectic isotopy for L follows directly from the following fact: For a generic path of tame structures J_t and a generic choice of points $x_1, \dots, x_k$ with $k := c_1(X) \cdot [L] - 1$ there exists a *unique* path L_t formed by J_t -holomorphic curves in the homology class L . This fact was exploited by several authors, see e.g. [Bar]. It follows then that both isotopies C_t' and L_t can be made J_t -holomorphic for the same path of tamed structures J_t . Then for a generic choice of isotopies C_t' and L_t the curves $C_t^+ := C_t' \cup L_t$ will form the desired symplectic isotopy.

The combinatorial data are translated along the path C_t^+ onto the obtained holomorphic curve C_1^+ . Since *Lemma 2.13* and *Corollary 2.14* hold also for the structure J_{st} , we can deform C_1^+ into a nodal J_{st} -holomorphic curve in the symplectic isotopy class of Σ . *Theorem 2.5* follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Bar] BARRAUD, J.-F.: *Nodal symplectic spheres in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with positive self-intersection*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **9**(1999), 495–508.
- [Don] DONIN, I. F.: *Complete families of deformations of germs of complex spaces*. Mat. Sb., (N.S.) **89**(131) (1972), 390–399. English translation: Math. USSR-Sb., **18** (1972), 397–406. **Math. Rev.:** 48 #11574
- [Fi-St] FINTUSHEL, R.; STERN, R.: *Symplectic surfaces in a fixed homology class*. J. Diff. Geom., **52** (1999), No. 2, 203–222.
- [Ful] FULTON, W.: *On nodal curves*. Algebraic geometry—open problems (Ravello, 1982), 146–155, Lecture Notes in Math., **997** Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [Fu-Pa] FULTON, W.; PANDHARIPANDE, R.: *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*. Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 45–96, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **62**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. **Math. Rev.:** 98m:14025
- [Gi-St] GUILLEMIN, V., STERNBERG, S.: *Birational equivalence in the symplectic category*. Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 485–522, **Math. Rev.:** 90f:58060.
- [G-H-S] GRABER, T., HARRIS, J., STARR, J.: *Families of rationally connected varieties*. 21 pages. Preprint, ArXiv:math.AG/0109220
- [G-L-Sh] GREUEL, G.-M.; LOSSEN, CHR.; SHUSTIN, E.: *New asymptotics in the geometry of equisingular families of curves*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **13**(1997), 595–611, **Math. Rev.:** 98g:14039
- [Gr-Ha] GRIFFITHS, P., HARRIS, J.: *Principle of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, N.-Y., (1978).
- [Gro] GROMOV, M.: *Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*. Invent. math. **82** (1985), 307–347.
- [Ha] HARRIS, J.: *On the Severi problem*. Invent. Math., **84** (1986), 445–461,
- [Ha-Mo] HARRIS, J., MORRISON, I.: *Moduli of curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **187**, Springer-Verlag, 1998, xiv+366 pp., ISBN 0-387-98438-0, **Math. Rev.:** 99g:14031.
- [H-L-S] HOFER, H., LIZAN, V., SIKORAV, J.-C.: *On genericity for holomorphic curves in four-dimensional almost-complex manifolds*. J. of Geom. Anal., **7**, 149–159, (1998).
- [Iv-Sh] IVASHKOVICH, S.; SHEVCHISHIN, V.: *Gromov compactness theorem for stable curves*. Gromov compactness theorem for J -complex curves with boundary. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **22** (2000), 1167–1206; see also ArXiv:math.DG/9903047.
- [La-McD] LALONDE, F.; MCDUFF, D.: *The classification of ruled symplectic manifolds*. Math. Res. Lett., **3**, 769–778, (1996).
- [Li-Liu] LI, T.-J.; LIU, A.-K.: *Symplectic structure on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction formula*. Math. Res. Lett., **2**, 453–471, (1995).
- [Liu] LIU, A.-K.: *Some new applications of general wall crossing formula, Gompf’s conjecture and its applications*. Math. Res. Lett., **3**, 569–585, (1996).
- [McD-1] MCDUFF, D.: *Examples of symplectic structures*. Invent. Math., **89** (1987), 13–36.
- [McD-2] MCDUFF, D.: *The structure of rational and ruled symplectic manifolds*. J. AMS, **3** (1990), 679–712; Erratum: J. AMS, **5** (1992), 987–988.
- [McD-3] MCDUFF, D.: *Blow ups and symplectic imbeddings in dimension 4*. Topology, **30** (1991), 409–421.

- [McD-Sa-1] McDUFF, D., SALAMON, D.: *Introduction to symplectic topology*. viii+425 pp., Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, ISBN: 0-19-851177-9. *2nd edition*: x+486 pp., 1998, ISBN: 0-19-850451-9.
- [McD-Sa-2] McDUFF, D., SALAMON, D.: *A survey of symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$* . Turk. J. Math. **20**, 47–60, (1996).
- [Mi-Wh] MICALLEF, M., WHITE, B.: *The structure of branch points in minimal surfaces and in pseudoholomorphic curves*. Ann. Math., **139**, 35–85 (1994).
- [Pal-1] PALAMODOV, V. P.: *Deformations of complex spaces*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), no. 3(189), 129–194.
- [Pal-2] PALAMODOV, V. P.: *Deformations of complex spaces*. Several complex variables, IV. Algebraic aspects of complex analysis, Encycl. Math. Sci., **10**, 105–194 (1990); translation from *Itogi Nauki Tekh.*, Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya **10**, 123–221 (1986).
- [Pa-Wo] PARKER, TH. H., WOLFSON, J. G.: *Pseudo-holomorphic maps and bubble trees*. J. Geom. Anal., **3** (1993), 63–98.
- [Ran] RAN, ZIV: *Families of plane curves and their limits: Enriques’ conjecture and beyond*. Ann. of Math. **130**(1989), 121–157.
- [Sk-1] SIKORAV, J.-C.: *Some properties of holomorphic curves in almost complex manifolds*. In “*Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry*.” Ed. by M. Audin and J. Lafontaine, Birkhäuser, (1994).
- [Sk-2] SIKORAV, J.-C.: *Singularities of J -holomorphic curves*. Math. Z., **226**, 359–373, (1997).
- [Sev] SEVERI, F.: *Vorlesungen uber algebraische Geometrie* Teubner, Leipzig, 1921; Jbuch 48, 687.
- [Sh] SHEVCHISHIN, V.: *Pseudoholomorphic curves and the symplectic isotopy problem*. Habilitation thesis, Bochum 2001, available at ArXiv:math.SG/0010262, submitted to the Journal of the Symplectic Geometry.
- [Tju] TJURINA, G. N.: *Locally semi-universal flat deformations of isolated singularities of complex spaces*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **33** (1969) 1026–1058.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 150, 44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

E-mail address: sewa@cplx.ruhr-uni-bochum.de